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### 1.0 NATIONAL FISH PRODUCTION

The fisheries department is mandated to sustainably manage, conserve and exploit Kenya's fisheries resources to contribute to poverty reduction and wealth creation in the country. The department is keen to having objective, reliable and credible data and information on the status and trends of fisheries as this is the foundation of policy development and attendant management actions. This has been recognized in various international legal instruments including the 1982 UN convention on the law of the sea and the code of conduct for responsible fisheries amongst others. Towards this end the Fisheries department has a full fledged section of statistics that is dedicated to providing accurate and reliable data and information. Fisheries data are collected with the objective to ensure appropriate resource management. The data are used by scientists and fisheries managers for stock assessment, economic studies and an aid to fisheries decision management and policy making.

### 1.1 Data collection system

In Kenya fisheries data collection structure relies mainly from designated officers in the field. The data collection system is centralized where a landing site data collector usually a Fisheries Assistant or a member of a beach management unit collects daily data from the landing site, compiles monthly catch totals for each respective landing site and files returns to the District Fisheries Officer who compiles a district statistical report including all the landing sites to regional and fisheries department head office. This is then included in this Annual Statistical Bulletin which is released for each calendar year.

### 1.2 Fisheries data indicators

There different data indicators ranging from artisanal fisheries, frame surveys, catch assessment to aquaculture.

### 1.2.1 Artisanal fisheries

In many artisanal fisheries, as is the case in our local context, data collection is based on collection of fishery-dependent data mainly on catch and effort parameters. The fisheries department has structured methods of collecting data at various frequencies. On a daily basis the field data collectors in the inland and marine and coastal fisheries collect data on the following parameters:

- Daily landed catches per species for all the landing sites.
- Gear types involved in fishing
- Vessel types
- Fishing time

In addition other daily recorded data include fish exports and imports per species for every exporting and importing establishment.

### 1.2.2 Frame Surveys

Other structured data collection programs include frame surveys conducted biennially for Lake Victoria and Marine artisanal fisheries. The data indicators collected during the bi-ennial frame surveys include the following:

- Number of fish landing sites
- Number of Fishers
- Number of fishing crafts
- Fishing crafts propulsion methods
- Different types of fishing gears and their numbers
- Different types of fishing crafts and their numbers
- Landing site facilities


### 1.2.3 Catch Assessment Surveys

The department is also involved in catch assessment surveys conducted quarterly for Lake Victoria over the last six years. The objective of catch assessment is to provide a statistical approach to estimating catch by fishing effort and the main data indicators collected include:

- Catch Per Unit Effort (CPUE) by boat types
- Catch Per Unit Effort (CPUE) by gear types
- Catch composition by species
- Catch composition by districts/counties
- Total catch composition by craft type
- Total catch composition by gear type
- Total catch composition by species
- Average prices by species
- Fishers' earnings by species


### 1.2.4 Aquaculture

Data indicators collected for aquaculture on monthly basis include the following:

- Number of farmers
- Number of active ponds
- Area $\left(\mathrm{M}^{2}\right)$ of active ponds
- Number of inactive ponds
- Area $\left(\mathrm{M}^{2}\right)$ of inactive ponds
- Number of new ponds
- Area $\left(\mathrm{M}^{2}\right)$ new ponds
- Number of ponds stocked
- Area $\left(\mathrm{M}^{2}\right)$ of ponds stocked
- Number of fingerings stocked by species
- Value (Kshs) of fingerings stocked by species
- Number of ponds harvested
- Area $\left(\mathrm{M}^{2}\right)$ of ponds harvested
- Quantity of fish harvested in Kg by species.
- Value of fish harvested in Kshs by species


### 1.3 Data gaps within the fisheries sector

One of the major problems confronting the fisheries data collection is the lack of adequate staffing capacity to cover the entire shorelines of the inland and coastal inshore fisheries. In effect the area of coverage is reduced resulting in underestimation of the catch and effort levels. In some cases especially for the coastal inshore fisheries there is need to collect data up to the species level as opposed to family level as is done currently by improving the species identification techniques of the data collectors.

### 1.4 Challenges in data collection

The main challenges confronting data collection in fisheries sector include:

1. Paradigm shift in fisheries management from a centralized command based system to one that puts emphasis on co-management with involvement of local fishing communities and other stakeholders in fisheries management as indeed provided for in the Fisheries (Beach management Units) regulations 2007. The shift towards co-management requires the involvement of fisher community in data collection analysis and dissemination. As co- managers the communities' role in data collection should be guided by providing regular training of local level data collectors and standardized data collection protocols. Due to lack of funds it has not been possible to proactively engage the fisher community in data collection activities.
2. The departmental human resource constraints in deploying data collectors at every landing beach.
3. Changes of artisanal fishing units. This is given more impetus due to the deployment of new and /or improved fishing gears in Kenya's fishery waters. This means that measures to estimate fishing effort have to be continuously revised.
4. The challenge of ensuring data accuracy, quality and credibility that can be compared across regions and time scale due to lack of regular training of data collectors to update their skills.

### 1.5 Data exchange collaboration areas

Fisheries department has active collaborative initiatives with various organizations. Due to the fact some of the most important fisheries in the country are transboundary, as a result there are strong mechanisms of data sharing with the aim of fostering better management of the shared fisheries resources. The department thus exchanges data with regional bodies such as the Lake Victoria Fisheries Organization (LVFO). Data exchange with this organization is wide ranging encompassing all aspects of fisheries. LVFO is also involved in setting benchmarks of data collections protocols by issuing standard operating procedures in data collection and analysis so that the data thus collected can be compared across the shared water body regardless of the country.

Such data exchange initiatives also exchange with the Indian Ocean Tuna commission (IOTC) mainly dealing with highly migratory tuna species and tuna like species. The department also makes submissions to FAO statistical year books as well as annual economic survey reports.

### 1.6 Recommendations to improve data delivery

1. Sampling programmes should be put in place to facilitate data collection especially on uncovered areas and collection of biological data.
2. Training of BMUs data collectors on species identification, data collection, processing and analysis.
3. Provision of computers (Desk-top PCs and laptop PCs for the respective district offices is needed).
4. Increase of the personnel involved in data collection and compilation.
5. Training on database creation and management using MSYQL for fisheries officers.
6. Collection of data in detail at species level for the most commercially exploited along the coast is needed.
7. The catch made by tuna longliners under Kenyan flags, which have been operating since 2004, should be checked and included in the statistics and logbook system should be established.
8. There is need to help in the setting up of a port inspection/ Sampling unit for the capture of transshipment and MUB data.

Kenya is endowed with both marine and inland water resources. The inland water resources include Lakes, Dams and Rivers of varying sizes. Some of the major Lakes include; Lake Turkana ( $6,405 \mathrm{Km}^{2}$ ), Lake Victoria-Kenyan side ( $6 \%$ of the whole lake $=4,128 \mathrm{~km}^{2}$ ), Naivasha ( $210 \mathrm{Km}^{2}$ ), Baringo ( $129 \mathrm{Km}^{2}$ ), and Lake Jipe ( $39 \mathrm{Km}^{2}$ ). Major rivers include Tana ( 700 Km ), Athi/Galana/Sabaki (530 Km), Ewaso-Ngiro-North ( 520 Km ), Kerio (350 Km), Yala, Nyando, Nzoia, Sio among others.

Further to these inland water resources, Kenya also enjoys a vast coastline of 640 km on the Western Indian Ocean, besides a further 200 nautical miles Exclusive Economic Zone (EEZ) under Kenyan jurisdiction. The country's coast is also located within the richest tuna belt in the South West Indian Ocean

The Kenyan fishery is mainly artisanal with very few commercial/industrial vessels targeting mainly shrimps and several tens of purse seines and long liners owned by Distant Water Fishing Nations (DWFN) which operate under Kenyan license in our Economic Exclusive Zone (EEZ) targeting Tuna and Tuna like species. The commercial/industrial vessels did not operate during the year under review for there was a ban on trawling. The artisanal fishery accounts for almost all the inland and marine water catches and consequently it is currently the most important fishery in the country, even though our EEZ which is predominately for commercial fishing is under exploited with an estimated potential of between 150,000 to 300,000 metric tons (Commonwealth secretariat report 2003 by Dr. George Habib)

The fisheries sector plays a significant role in employment and income generation. During the year under review the sector supported a total of 62,232 people directly as fishermen and 54,731 fish farmers with 45,621 and 124 stoked fish ponds and reservoirs respectively. The sector supports about 1.1 million people directly and indirectly, working as fishers, traders, processors, suppliers and merchants of fishing accessories and employees and their dependants. Besides being a rich source of protein especially for riparian communities, the sector is also important for the preservation of culture, national heritage, and recreational purposes.

During the year (2011) under review, fish production from Inland, Aquaculture and Marine artisanal fisheries amounted to 167,763 metric tons with an exvessel value of Kshs. 19,470,579,000. This was an increase of $19 \%$ in quantity and $27 \%$ in ex-vessel value compared with 2010 figures. The increase in quantity can be attributed to increase in farmed fish and Rastrienobola argentea (Omena) catches from Lake Victoria while the increase in ex-vessel value can be attributed to the ever increasing value of fish due to the high demand for the same figure 1 . As stated above, this production was done by 62,232 fishers using 19,143 fishing crafts with thousands of different types of fishing gears and 54,731 fish farmers manning 45,621 fish ponds and 124 reservoirs across the country.


Figure 1: Fish production by quantity and Value 1999-2011
Inland capture fisheries contributed $83 \%$ of Kenya's total fish production, with the principal fishery being that of Lake Victoria. The lake accounted for 133,801 metric tons or $80 \%$ of the country's total annual fish production in 2011. Lake Turkana, Kenya's largest freshwater body ( $7,400 \mathrm{~km}^{2}$ ) produced 3,746 metric tons of fish with an ex-vessel value of Kshs. 275,919,000. Other freshwaterbodies of commercial importance include lakes Naivasha, Baringo, Jipe, the Tana river dams and the Tana river's delta. Marine artisanal fish production was 8,947 metric tons equivalent of $5 \%$ of the national production while aquaculture production amounted to 19,584 metric tons contributing $12 \%$ of the total production, figure 2. Aquaculture earned the farmers Kshs. 4,223,471,000 during the year under review.


Figure 2: National fish production by Fishery Category 2011

The fish and fish products produced in the country are marketed domestically, or exported to the international markets. The main fish and fishery products exported during the year under review included Nile perch fillets, Octopus, Marine shells, Dried salted fish, Sharks and Nile perch fish maws,. Fish and fishery products imported into the country included the following products among others: frozen Mackerels, Sardines, frozen Kahawai, Tilapia niloticus, Tuna, Sharks and Salmon.

### 2.0 CAPTURE FISHERIES

Capture fisheries in Kenya is mainly from lakes Victoria, Turkana, Naivasha, Baringo, Jipe, Tana River dams, Tana river delta and Indian Ocean and it accounted for $88.3 \%$ down from $91.4 \%$ the previous year. Lake Victoria accounted for $90.30 \%$ of all the fish from capture fisheries in Kenya during the year under review. Lake Turkana contributed $2.53 \%$, Tana river dams $0.49 \%$, Kenyatta $0.16 \%$, Tana river delta $0.28 \%$, Lake Kanyamboli $0.17 \%$, Lake Naivasha $0.16 \%$, Lake Jipe $0.04 \%$ and Lake Baringo $0.07 \%$ while marine artisanal fisheries contributed $6.04 \%$ of all the fish from capture fisheries.

In capture fisheries, gill netting was the most used fishing method during the year. The other methods included use of gears such as long line hooks, hand
line, traditional traps, trolling, cast nets and small (mosquito) seines for Rastrineobola argentea fishing. There are other methods which were used but are currently prohibited due to their destructive nature. They include; Beach seining, Monofilament gill netting, Trawl netting, Scuba diving, spear gunning and vertical integration of gears.

### 2.1 LAKE VICTORIA FISHERY

Lake Victoria's contribution to total national annual fish production is enormous ( $80 \%$ in 2011) even in the face of rapidly declining fish stocks in the lake. Capture fisheries of Lake Victoria are a source of livelihood to many people employed directly as boat owners, fishermen (41,912), fish traders, fish processors, etc and indirectly as fishing gear manufacturers, boat builders, and ice producers among others. Lake Victoria is a multi-species fishery with hundreds of known species, but only Rastrienobola argentea (Omena), Lates niloticus (Nile perch), and Oreochromis niloticus (Nile tilapia) are of economic significance which contributed $95 \%$ of total catches from the lake (Kenyan side) during the year under review. This been the case for a number of years, figure 3. However, the last few years have seen a rapid decline of fish stocks in Lake Victoria thereby creating a wide gap between supply and demand for fish in the country. In response to this undesirable situation, the government has taken concrete steps to promote aquaculture development in the country. It introduced and implemented the Fish Farming Enterprise Productivity Program (FFEPP) to bridge the existing supply-demand gap.

During the year 2011, fish production from Lake Victoria increased to 133,801 MT valued at Kshs $13,847,170,000$ compared to 111,868 MT valued at Kshs $11,543,125,000$ landed in 2010 and 108,934 MT valued at $10,800,831,000$ for the year 2009. This translates into an increase of $19.6 \%$ in quantity and $20 \%$ in value compared to the previous year. There was a small increase in production of major species compared to the previous year i.e. Lates niloticus increased by $1.4 \%$, and Rastrienobola argentea $3.3 \%$. On the other hand, Oreochromis niloticus recorded a noticeable increase of $18.4 \%$. In terms of species contribution to the total weight of fish landed, Rastrienobola argentea took the lead with $54.05 \%$, Lates niloticus, $34.84 \%$, O. niloticus, $6.16 \%$, Clarias spp, $1.90 \%$, Protopterus aethiopicus, $0.87 \%$, Haplochromis, $0.39 \%$ and others $1.80 \%$, figure 4 . Homa bay County contributed $64.71 \%$ of the total landings, Siaya $19.85 \%$, Migori $7.65 \%$, Kisumu $4.51 \%$ and Busia 3.17\%, figure 5.


Figure 3: Lake Victoria species catch composition 2005-2011


Figure 4: Lake Victoria species catch composition 2011


Figure 5: Lake Victoria fish landings by County 2011
The bulk of the fish landings from lake Victoria was landed in the district (old districts) of Suba 80,821 meteic tons ( $60.4 \%$ ) followed by Bondo 26,697MT (20.0\%), Migori 10,239MT (7.7\%), Busia 4,247MT (3.2\%), Rachuonyo 3,986MT (3.0\%), Kisumu 3,650MT (2.7\%), Nyando 2,380 (1.8\%) and lastly Homa bay 1,781 ( $1.3 \%$ ), figure 6.


Figure 6: Lake Victoria Fish Landings by Districts 2011

### 2.1.1 Challenges to Lake Victoria fisheries

The declining trend in species fish catches apart from Rastrienobola argentea and Clarias spp over the last decade or so could be an indicator of reduced fish stocks particularly Lates niloticus and every effort towards fish stock rejuvenation, including restocking, deserve attention since it directly threatens food security and income for livelihoods, which eventually culminates into hunger, joblessness, wrangles among the stakeholders, increased crime rates and social aspects.

Significant change in attitude by fishers towards conservation of the lakes' resources is necessary to curb on the illegalities within the lake.

During the better part of the review period water hyacinth was a real problem to fishing activities by virtually blocking most landing sites and fishing grounds resulting into relocation of fishers to the open areas.

The major challenge afflicting the fisheries of Lake Victoria is still mainly attributed to over fishing and habitat degradation. These have had adverse impacts to the fishery by changing the species diversity and reduction in total harvestable biomass. Over fishing is caused typically by problems associated with open access fisheries as outlined below:

## a). Increased number of fishers and fishing crafts

The number of fishers in Lake Victoria (Kenyan side) has increased from 38,431 in 2000 to 41,912 in 2010. The number of fishing crafts increased from 11,515 in 2000 to 14,251 in 2010 on the Kenyan side of the lake while lake wide fishers increased from 129,305 to 194,172 and fishing crafts from 42,519 to 64,595 during the same period. (Lake Victoria (Kenya) biennial fisheries frame survey 2010 National report and Lake Victoria biennial fisheries frame survey 2010 Regional report).

## b). Increase in legal and illegal fishing nets:

The effort in terms of legal gear such as gill net and long line hooks have increased tremendously. The number of legal gill net of mesh size $>5$ inches increased from 99,821 in 2000 to 165,355 in 2010 while the number of the illegal mesh sizes <5 inches increased from 33,544 to 47,638 during the same period. Generally, the total number of gillnets of all mesh sizes continued to increase over the years with an increase of $159.8 \%$ between 2000 and 2010.The number of Long line hooks had the highest increase during the same period having increased from $1,039,893$ to $2,710,395$ an increase of $160.6 \%$. Other illegal nets, such as monofilament gillnets, have increased from 58 in 2004 to 469 in 2006, 4,190 in 2008 before declining to 1,468 in 2010 . Efforts to remove these destructive gears should be stepped up and the effects of the expanding long line fishery in particular need to be evaluated and the fishery regulated accordingly.

The Ministry of Fisheries Development is concerned about the sustainability of Lake Victoria Fisheries. Scientists have advised that the fish stocks are continuously declining and unless this is effectively dealt with, the sustainability of the fishery remains under threat. This will eventually have a negative impact on other businesses and the fishers.

All stakeholders especially fish processors and gear distributors should collaborate with fisheries management in order to manage Lake Victoria fisheries resources sustainably together. As it has been noted above, many illegal gears are still in use and this can only be controlled with the cooperation of all the stake holders.

### 2.2 ARTISANAL MARINE FISHERY

Capture fisheries is the main type of fisheries in the Marine waters predominantly undertaken by artisanal fishers in the shallow waters and within the reef using small non mechanized fishing crafts. Semi industrial fishing
vessels do land their catches in Mombasa for export and local consumption although they did not operate for there was a ban imposed on trawling during the year under review.
Fishing activities by the artisanal fishers is influenced by the weather pattern. During the month of September to March when the north east monsoon winds (Kazi kazi) blow, the sea is calm and there is a lot of fishing activities and fish landings are normally high during that time. As from April to August, the landings do decrease due to string south east monsoon winds (Kusi) prevailing during that time which renders the sea rough thus unfavorable for fishing voyages.

The territorial waters cover 12 nautical miles where the artisanal fishermen do operate from, while the Exclusive Economic Zone (EEZ) covers 200 nautical miles from the Coast line. The marine fishery is estimated to have a potential of between $150,000-300,000$ metric per year. At the moment the EEZ fishery is still being exploited by Distance Water Fishing Nations (DWFNs) with little knowledge on the amount of fish being caught due to lack of Monitoring, Control and Surveillance (MCS) system.

During the year under review, a total of 8,947 metric tons of assorted fish species with an ex-vessel value of Ksh. 1,003,830,000 were landed. This production reflected an increase of $6.4 \%$ from last year's production of 8,406 metric tons. The landings were done at some 141 landing sites distributed all along the whole stretch of the Kenyan Coastline.

Landings from artisanal fishery have been increasing, declining then increasing in cycles while the value of the fish has maintained an upward trend over the years. Fish production from the marine artisanal fishery for the last ten years has remained fairly constant between 6,000 and 9,000 metric tons only showing marginal fluctuations as shown in figure 7 below.


Figure 7: Trends of marine fish production by quantity and value 2002-2011
In 2011, dermersal fish species category dominated the marine artisanal fish landings by contributing 4,416 metric tons $(50 \%)$ of the landings while pelagic fish category contributed 2,444 metric tons ( $27 \%$ ), the sharks, rays and sardines category made up 884 metric tons $(10 \%)$ of the landings, mollusks $629(7 \%)$ and crustaceans 574 metric tons ( $6 \%$ ), figures 8 and 9.


Figure 8: Percentage marine fish species group contribution 2011


Figure 9: Trends of marine fish species landings 2009-2011

During the year under review, Lamu county contributed the highest marine artisanal landings of 2.396 MT (or $26.8 \%$ ) followed by Kilifi 3,331 MT ( $26.0 \%$ ), Kwale 2.314 MT, Mombasa 1,116 ( $12.5 \%$ ) and lastly was Tana river county with a contribution of 789 MT or $8.8 \%$ as shown in figure 10 .


Figure 10: Marine fish production by Quantity, Value and Counties 2011
The most common fishing gears used by the artisanal fishers were gillnets, traditional traps (usio, malema), seine nets (which include beach, prawn and reef seines), long line hooks, hand lines cast nets and trammel nets among others.

### 2.3 LAKE TURKANA FISHERY

Lake Turkana is Africa's fourth largest lake by volume and Kenya's largest inland lake measuring about 249 km long by 48 km at its widest part, with a delta extending into Ethiopia. It lies in a closed basin 365 meters above sea level. The lake has three volcanic islands namely the north, central and south islands. The central island has three saline crater lakes known for endemic species of tilapias. The islands are listed as UNESCOs world heritage sites.

Over $90 \%$ of the annual water discharge by volume is from river Omo originating from the Ethiopian highlands while the rest is from seasonal rivers Kerio and Turkwel. River Omo drains a large portion of the south western highlands of Ethiopia and therefore influences fluctuations in the lake's water level, which in turn affects the amount (or abundance) of fish stocks and hence fish production from the lake. With no surface outlet, the water budget is a balance between river inflow and evaporation which imposes special physical chemical conditions making the lake saline. Therefore any activities dealing with water abstraction or damning that interferes with the natural discharge rates of river Omo has a negative effect on the lake volume levels.

The lake has about 48 species of fish with a dozen supporting a commercial fishery. The species exploited commercially include, Nile perch (Lates niloticus), Tilapia (Oreocromis niloticus), Catfish (Clarias gariepinus), synodontis schall, Hydrocynus forskalii, Momyrus spp, Labeo horie, Bagrus spp, Distichodus niloticus, Citharinus spp, citharus, Barbus spp and Alestes spp. The fishery is characterized by decadal boom and bust cycles in fish landings associated with fluctuations in lake levels due to the dynamics of the climatic conditions especially precipitation leading to filling and drying up of the Ferguson's gulf. The filling up of the Ferguson's gulf is associated with boom in fish catches especially tilapias. The peripheral communities entirely rely on fishing directly supporting about 7,000 fishers and 6,500 fish traders and transporters.

During the year under review, a total of 3,746 metric tons of fish were landed with a ex-vessel value of Kshs. 276 millions from both sides of the lake. This years' production had a decline of $42 \%$ in quantity and an increase of $1.5 \%$ in ex-vessel value compared to 2010 production. This decline was attributed to the inflow of river Omo, which is the lake's main feeder which drastically reduced due to persistent drought and sustainable use upstream. The trends in annual fish catches from Lake Turkana are determined by the lakes' water level and for that the catches have been unpredictable for a long time, figure 11.

During the year under review, Tilapia spp dominated the landings by contributing $41.8 \%$ followed by Labeo horie (14.9\%), Lates niloticus (13.5\%), Distichodus niloticus (7.7\%), Alestes (7.6\%) and Synodontis spp (3.3\%). The six species combined contributed $88.7 \%$ and other species combined contributed the remaining $11.3 \%$, figure 12 .


Figure 11: Trends in annual fish landings from Lake Turkana fishery 19902011


Figure 12: Species composition in catches of Lake Turkana Fishery 2011

One of the major challenges in the exploitation of the Lake Turkana fishery is lack of cold storage facilities within reach forcing all fishers to sale almost all there catches as dried or smoked products which are inferior products and consequently fetch highly reduced market prices per nominal unit weight.

There is also need to evaluate the data collection system in the region due to the expansiveness of the lake shoreline and build capacity of the local fishers groups and Beach Management Units (BMUs) through training to effectively undertake primary data collection. With fisheries staff strength of just 14 persons as at December 2011, it is important to enlist the support of the fishing communities to help in collecting timely and accurate data for planning and policy review.

Some of the main challenges facing Lake Turkana fishery which need to addressed include the following:

- Lack of appropriate fish handling and preservation facilities that usually lead post harvest losses and poor quality of fish and fish products;
- Poor state of landing site access roads, which make marketing impossible at some landing sites such as Todonyang and Namukuse;
- Armed conflicts between the Turkana in Kenya and the Dasenach in Ethiopia over fishing and grazing grounds in the River Omo delta. Many lives have been lost especially on the Kenyan side;
- Weak and unfavorable fish marketing systems along the fish landing sites;
- Rampant insecurity in the lake which make resource Monitoring, Control and Surveillance a risky affair;
- Insufficient funds for training Beach Management Units in data collection

There is an urgent need to develop a sound management plan for Lake Turkana fishery.

### 2.4 LAKE NAIVASHA FISHERY

The present fish population of Lake Naivasha comprises of the introduced species including large mouth bass (Micropterus salmoides) which was introduced in 1927, 1951 and 1956 from the United States of America, Tilapia zilli introduced form Lake Victoria in 1956. The introduction of Tilapia zilli also contained Oreochromis leucostictus and other tilapine species which are presently not encountered in the lake. The exotic rainbow trout (Onchorhynchus mykiss) occasionally strays into the lake from river Malewa while Barbus amphigramma migrates between the lake and the river. The Louisiana red swamp cratfish (Procambarus clarkii) was introduced in 1970 as a source of
food for the bass. The crayfish and Barbus amphigramma are not under commercial exploitation currently in the lake.

Lake Naivasha commercial fishery had been declining before the year 2001 necessitating a one year ban on fishing in 2001 and the subsequent years, up to date, there has been an annual closed season during the breeding season ( $1^{\text {st }}$ June to $31^{\text {st }}$ August), to allow the fish stocks to recover. The recent accidental introduction of Common carp (Cyprinus carpio) has created a shift in the fish production. The Cyprinus carpio is believed to have come through river Malewa from Nyandarua highlands during the El-Nino period of 1998-1999.

Species composition in the catches from the lake has drastically changed since the year 2002 where total catches were dominated by the tilapiines. However over the last nine years, tilapiines contribution in catches has declined with the introduced Cyprinus carpio assuming greater prominence in the catches.

It is imperative for management and research to understand the implications of the Cyprinus carpio on the other fish species in the ecosystem. Besides, it is also important to understand the effects of the feeding habits of the Cyprinus carpio a detritivore, on the breeding grounds/nests of the tilapiines in the fishery.

During the year under review, a total of 288 metric tons of fish with an ex-vessel value of Kshs. 23,229, 279 were landed from Lake Naivasha. This was an increase of $37.8 \%$ in quantity and $82.7 \%$ in value compared to 2010 landings of 209 metric tons valued at Kshs $12,711,911$ to the fishers. Common carp (Cyprinus carpio) continued to be the most dominant species accounting for $95.42 \%(274,882 \mathrm{Kg})$ of the total catch. The other species have been on the decline with Mirror carp accounting for $4.52 \%$ ( $13,017 \mathrm{Kg}$ ), Black bass (Micropyerus salmoides) $0.06 \%$ ( 672 Kg ) lake 'Naivasha tilapia' (Oreochromis leucostictus) accounting for only $0.01 \%$ ( 98 Kg ), figure 13

During the average monthly fish catches for the month fished i.e. January to May and September to December was 32 metric tons, figure 14.


Figure 13: Lake Naivasha species percentage landings in Kgs 2011


Figure 14: Lake Naivasha monthly catches in 2011

During the year 2011, a total of 50 fishing crafts were licensed to operate in the lake for a period of 9 months ( 3 months were closed fishing season) and these were operated by an average of 150 fishers per month.

The annual closed season on fishing activities in Lake Naivasha was effected from $1^{\text {st }}$ June to $30^{\text {th }}$ September during the year under review, as a part of management measure to allow the fishery to recover. Other management measures employed included control of the fishing effort and protection of breeding areas. Collaborative research on the lake fishery was conducted by the Kenya Marine and Fisheries Research Institute (KMFRI), in collaboration with the Department of fisheries and fisher folk.

### 2.5 LAKE BARINGO FISHERY

Lake Baringo is one of the Rift valley lakes with a surface area of $130 \mathrm{~km}^{2}$ and a mean depth of 5.6 metres. The lake has river El Molo, Perkerra and Ol arabel as the main inlets but with no obvious outlet and the waters are assumed to seep through to the underground bedrock which is believed to be volcanic

The fishery of Lake Baringo is currently based on four species including Oreochromis niloticus (Tilapia), Barbus gregorii, Barbus lineomaculatus, Clarias mossambicus and Protopterus aethiopicus which was introduced in the lake.

The fishery was previously based on the tilapiine species, however owing to changes in the lakes biophysical processes such as siltation and species introductions, the fishery is currently dominated by Protopterus aethiopicus.

During the year under review a total of $101,191 \mathrm{Kg}$ of fish with an ex-vessel value of Kshs. $9,468,820$ were landed. This was a huge increase of $92.5 \%$ in quantity and $109.1 \%$ in value compared last year's production of $53,320 \mathrm{Kg}$ valued at Kshs. 4,529,066.

The species catch composition was dominated by Protopterus aethiopicus having contributed $72 \%$ followed by Tilapia (17\%), Clarias (10\%), and Barbus with $1 \%$, figure 15 .


Figure 15: Percentages catch (MT) by species composition in Lake Baringo in 2011

### 2.6 LAKE JIPE AND NEIGHBOURING DAMS FISHERY

During the year 2010, a total of 104 metric tons of both Clarias and Tilapia valued at Kshs 9,554,000 were landed. This reflected a small increase 0f $0.8 \%$ (or 1 metric ton) in quantity and $58.8 \%$ in value compared to previous year 2009 production of 103 metric tons valued at Kshs $6,017,000$. The only two species (Tilapia and Clarias) caught in the lake showed a steady average production of 8 metric tons per month for Tilapia and 1 metric ton for Clarias. Tilapia contributed $89 \%$ and Clarias $11 \%$, figure 16 .


Figure 16: Percentages catch species composition in Lake Jipe in 2011
The fishing activities of the lake were undertaken by an average of 60 fishers using 43 fishing crafts. The fishers fished with an average of 37 gillnets, 1,700 hand line hooks and 54 local traps (Migono).
The challenges which faced capture fisheries in lake Jipe included;

- Lack of departmental patrol boat which hindered monitoring, control and surveillance activities and thus compromised the law enforcement progress;
- Colonization of Lake Jipe by Papyrus and Typhus weeds which has been hindering fishing activities and hence the low fish production from the lake.


### 2.7 TANA RIVER DAMS FISHERY

A total of 732 metric tons of fish with an ex-vessel value of Kshs 53,781,000 were landed from the main fishery water bodies of the Tana River dams of Masinga, Kamburu, and Kiambere. This production reflected an increase of $25.6 \%$ in quantity and $43.8 \%$ in ex-vessel value compared to 2010 figures of 583 metric tons valued at Kshs 37,391,000.

The most important species in the catches were, Cyprinus carpio (Common carp) and Clarias gariepinus Landings of Tilapia spp were the highest at 336 metric tons followed by Cyprinus carpio 232 metric tons, Clarias gariepinus and the Eels with one metric ton. The rest of the species namely Barbus spp, Labes spp and Mormyrus had their catches below 100 Kgs . Tana River dam's fish production is determined by the level of water in the dams and this causes fluctuations of the total annual landing depending the water level in the dams, figure 17

Fishing in all the fishery dams is mainly passive using gillnets, traditional traps, and hand lines. Fishing effort during the year under review was 306 fishers using 183 fishing crafts and operating about 2,500 gillnets and 10,000 hooks and 4,000 traditional traps. The main market of the landed catches was in Nairobi.


Figure 17: Tana River dams' fish catch trends 2004-2011
The contribution of the landings by dams was as follows: Masinga dam 441 metric tons ( $61 \%$ ), Kamburu 131 metric tons ( $18 \%$ ) and Kiambere 154 metric tons $(21 \%)$ while by landing sites Ekalakala had the lion's share of 225 metric
tons ( $31 \%$ ) of the total dams' landings. This was followed by Mananja 139 metric tons ( $19 \%$ ), Kisumu ndogo 93 metric tons ( $13 \%$ ), Tumutumu 84 metric tons $(11 \%)$, Jua kali 70 metric tons ( $10 \%$ ), Katooni/Korokocho 65 metric tons (19\%) and finally Riakanau with 57 metric tons or $8 \%$ of the total landings from the dams.

### 2.8 LAKE KENYATTA FISHERY

During the year under review a total of 233 metric tons of fish with an ex-vessel value of Kshs. 7,999,711 was landed from Lake Kenyatta in Lamu county of the coast province. There a $36.9 \%$ decline in quantity of the fish landed coupled with $27.4 \%$ decline in value compared with 2010 figures of 369 metric tons valued at Kshs $11,014,953$. The catch composition from this lake comprised of three species namely Tilapia, Protopterus and Clarias. Tilapia contributed $46 \%$ of the total catch, Protopterus $26 \%$ and Clarias $28 \%$ figure 18. The fishing effort was 120 fishers using 40 fishing crafts. Fishing was mainly passive with gillnetting, long line hooks and hand line hooks being the most common methods of fishing.


Figure 18: Percentages catch species composition in Lake Kenyatta in 2011

### 2.9 LAKE KANYABOLI FISHERY

Lake Kanyaboli is one of the satellite lakes of Lake Victoria. It is located in Siaya county. The fisheries of the lake are comprised of the following fish species: Oreochromis niloticus, Protopterus aethiopicus, Tilapia zilli, Oreochromis esculentus and Clarias spp. The productivity of the lake continued to decline during the year under review. Possible explanations are overfishing, bad fishing practices, and the recession of the lake due to siltation and restricted water flow at the feeder canal. A total of 173 metric tons with an ex-vessel value of Kshs $12,676,975$ were landed from the lake during the year under review. This was a $19 \%$ decline in quantity of the fish landed but was coupled with $12 \%$ increase in value compared with 2010 figures of 215 metric tons valued at Kshs $11,329,000$.

The main species in catches were Tilapia which contributed54.3\% of the total catch followed by Clarias (19.7\%), Protopterus (19.1\%), and Haplochromis ( $6.9 \%$ ). The fishing activities were undertaken by 188 fishers operating 99 fishing crafts.

### 3.0 AQUACULTURE (FISH FARMING)

Prior to the year 2007, several initiatives on fish farming in Kenya had been executed by the Department of Fisheries, The main activities were geared towards using fish farming as a tool for poverty alleviation and food security, and were addressed through various project activities that included but not limited to; pond construction and management, stocking rates trials, feed trials, integration of fish farming with other agricultural activities, brood stock management, seed quality and evaluation of growth performance of Nile tilapia and Catfish strains.

These initiatives had limited impacts due to slow uptake of fish farming by entrepreneurs emanating from lack of information on fish farming technology and culture practices, limited funding by Government, and limited political support from the policy makers. This is exemplified by the fact that ten years back (2002), there were only 4,742 fish farmers with 7,471 ponds occupying $217 \mathrm{Ha}(2,169,424$ square metres) and producing 962 MT of farmed fish. The contribution of farmed fish at that time was only $1 \%$ of the National Fish Production in Kenya.

The Initiation of the Fish Farming Economic Stimulus Programme in Kenya in the last three years has revolutionalized fish farming practices in the country and
has made Kenya a fish producing and fish eating Nation. The project was implemented in high aquaculture potential areas of Western Kenya, Nyanza, parts of Rift Valley, Eastern, Central Kenya and Coast regions. These regions are endowed with a lot of water resources that include springs, wetlands, rivers, water reservoirs and the temporary water bodies.

The Ministry of Fisheries Development is aggressively promoting aquaculture development in the country to counter the declining production from capture fisheries. Aquaculture, being a food production sub sector, is being mobilized to positively contribute towards food security, generate income and create employment our young generation.

Fish farming production during the year was 19,585 metric tons with a farm gate value of Kshs. 4,223,471,393 compared to 12,153 metric tons valued at Kshs. $2,620,794,000$ in 2010. Of the total farmed fish production, Nile tilapia contributed $75 \%$ ( 14,689 metric tons), African catfish $18 \%$ ( 3,525 metric tons), Common carp $6 \%$ ( 1,175 metric tons) and Rainbow trout $1 \%$ (186 metric tons). This production was from 45,621 ponds with an area of $13,614,424$ metres square, 161 tangs measuring 23,085 metres square and 124 reservoirs with an area of 744,000 square metres throughout the country. Over the last ten year fish production has increased from as low as 962 metric tons produced in year 2002 to the present production of 19,585 metric tons, figure 19.


Figure 19: Aquaculture production for last ten years (2002-2011)
There were constraints which affected aquaculture during the year which included:

- Lack of readily available and affordable quality fish seed (fingerlings);
- Lack of adequate good quality and affordable fish feeds;
- Inefficient aquaculture production technologies;
- Water scarcity due to other competing uses - industry, domestic and agriculture;
- Lack of and /or inadequate accurate market information for use by fish farmers;
- Lack of good credit facilities and schemes for fish farmers;
- Security and safety of fish in ponds posed by thieves and predators
- Multifarious diseases and parasites;
- Limited land sizes that disqualified some willing individuals from constructing the FFEPP ponds.
- Sub optimal staffing levels especially extension personnel;
- Inadequate facilitation in terms of transport and timely funds towards carrying out of fisheries extension service provision.

Management and ownership of fish ponds is mainly by individual fish farmers while self-help groups are the ones who manage dams/reservoirs in the country. Fisheries extension staff assists the farmer in the best pond and dam/reservoir management practices.

The department policy on shifting fish farming from subsistence to commercial enterprise demands increased and reliable fish seed production for the farmers.

### 4.0 EXPORTS OF FISH AND FISHERY PRODUCTS

During the year under review, a total of 9,612 metric tons of fish and fishery products were exported earning the country Kshs. 3,391,389,000 in foreign exchange. The export products were mainly Nile perch fillets, Octopus, marine shells, salted fish, sharks, fish maws, Crabs, Lobsters, Haplochromis, sea Cucumber sand Sword fish. Nile perch fillets exports accounted for $86.3 \%$ of the total quantity and $88.9 \%$ of the total earnings. Octopus contributed $9.4 \%$ in quantity and $7.9 \%$ in value while marine shells contributed $1.2 \%$ in quantity and $0.1 \%$ in value. This year's Nile perch fillets export decreased by $19.4 \%$ from the previous years' export of 10,293 metric tons. By country destination, Israel had the lion's share of Nile perch exports at 3,327 metric tons or $40.1 \%$ of the total Nile perch exports. Israel was followed by Netherlands with 1,841 metric tons ( $22.2 \%$ ), Portugal 787 metric tons ( $9.5 \%$ ), Germany with 687 metric tons ( $8.3 \%$ ), UAE 369 metric tons ( $4.4 \%$ ) Australia 232 metric tons ( $2.8 \%$ ) and China with 168 metric tons ( $2.0 \%$ ) among others, figure 20.

By product type the exports of frozen Nile perch fillets contributed the highest percentage of $51.2 \%$ ( 4,252 metric tons) followed by fresh fillets $37.5 \%(3,109$ metric tons), frozen headless and gutted Nile perch $7.4 \%$ ( 616 metric tons) then fresh headless and gutted Nile perch $3.9 \%$ ( 321 metric tons) figure 21.


Figure 20: Exports of Nile Perch By destinations- 2011


Figure 21: Exports of Nile perch by product type 2011

Apart from the above mentioned exports, 9,821 metric tons of Tuna loins were processed and trans-shipped through the port of Mombasa. This quantity was an increase of $7 \%$ from the previous year's trans-shipment of 9,209 metric tons.

### 5.0 IMPORTS

In 2011, Kenya imported 2,664 metric tons of fish and fishery products worth Kshs $145,865,000$. The imports were mainly composed of frozen mackerels with 1,605 metric tons ( $60.2 \%$ ), sardines 529 metric tons ( $19.9 \%$ ), Tilapia niloticus 131 metric tons ( $4.9 \%$ ) and frozen kahawai 114 metric tons ( $4.3 \%$ ), figure 22. The imports originated largely from Asian countries, notably India, Pakistan, Japan and Korea but all the Tilapia niloticus was imported from China.

Some 100,000 Trout ova worthy Kshs 170,100 were imported from Britain during the year under review.


Figure 22: Import of fish and fish products 2011

## NB

The following symbols have been used in this Bulletin:
0
Meaning Nil
*
Meaning the value was less than half of the unit used
Meaning no data was available

Table 1: Fish landings by Weight, Value, number of Fishers and Fishing crafts by Areas 2011

| Freshwater | M. tons | 000 Kshs. | No.Fishers/Farmers | Fishing crafts/ponds |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lake Victoria | 133,801 | 13,847,170 | 41,912 | 14,251 |
| Lake Turkana | 3,746 | 275,919 | 7,000 | 1650 |
| Lake Baringo | 102 | 9,469 | 120 | 47 |
| Lake Naivasha | 288 | 23,229 | 150 | 50 |
| LakeJipe/Dams | 104 | 9,554 | 60 | 43 |
| Lake Kanyaboli | 173 | 12,676 | 188 | 99 |
| Lake Kenyatta | 233 | 8,000 | 120 | 40 |
| Tana River dams | 732 | 53,781 | 306 | 183 |
| Fish Farming | 19,584 | 4,223,471 | 48,721 | 45,745 |
| Tana River delta | 53 | 3,480 | 299 | 93 |
| Total | 158,816 | 18,466,750 | 98,876 | 62,201 |
| Marine water |  |  |  |  |
| Dermersal | 4,416 | 408,567 |  |  |
| Pelagic | 2,444 | 252,767 |  |  |
| Crustaceans | 574 | 176,539 |  |  |
| Other Marine | 884 | 75,530 |  |  |
| Miscellaneous | 629 | 90,427 |  |  |
| Total Marine | 8,947 | 1,003,830 | 12,077 | 2,687 |
| Grand Total | 167,763 | 19,470,579 | 110,953 | 64,888 |

Table 2: Quantity and value of Fish landings to Fishers 2009-2011

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ |  | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ |  | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| FRESHWATER | M. tons | $\mathbf{0 0 0}$ Kshs | M. tons | $\mathbf{0 0 0}$ Kshs | M. tons | $\mathbf{0 0 0}$ Kshs |
| L. Victoria | 108,934 | $10,800,831$ | 111,868 | $11,543,125$ | 133,801 | $13,847,170$ |
| L. Turkana | 9,445 | 305,178 | 6,430 | 271,687 | 3,746 | 275,919 |
| L. Naivasha | 688 | 31,470 | 209 | 12,712 | 288 | 23,229 |
| L. Baringo | 191 | 11,562 | 53 | 4,529 | 102 | 9,469 |
| L. Jipe/Dams | 109 | 6,331 | 103 | 6,017 | 104 | 9,554 |
| Lake Kanyaboli | 31 | 1,554 | 215 | 11,329 | 173 | 12,676 |
| Lake Kenyatta | 369 | 12,401 | 369 | 11,015 | 233 | 8,000 |
| Tana River Dams | 584 | 33,536 | 583 | 37,391 | 732 | 53,781 |
| Fish Farming | 4,895 | $1,041,420$ | 12,153 | $2,620,794$ | 19,584 | $4,223,471$ |
| Tana river delta | 428 | 30,009 | 362 | 28,537 | 53 | 3,480 |
| TOTAL | $\mathbf{1 2 5 , 6 7 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 , 2 7 4 , 2 9 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 3 2 , 3 4 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 4 , 5 4 7 , 1 3 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 8 , 8 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 , 4 6 6 , 7 5 0}$ |
| MARINE FISH |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lamu county | 1,943 | 109,585 | 2,056 | 112,215 | 2150 | 138987 |
| Tana River county | 85 | 5,382 | 276 | 20,194 | 704 | 51735 |
| Kilifi county | 593 | 50,691 | 485 | 45,253 | 2152 | 250305 |
| Mombasa county | 858 | 103,542 | 926 | 116,939 | 860 | 121327 |
| Kwale county | 2,484 | 183,136 | 2,024 | 161,325 | 1879 | 174510 |
| TOTAL | $\mathbf{7 , 0 2 4}$ | 556,546 | $\mathbf{7 , 2 8 3}$ | $\mathbf{6 1 2 , 0 3 6}$ | $\mathbf{7 , 7 4 4}$ | $\mathbf{7 3 6 , 8 6 4}$ |
| CRUSTACEA |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lamu county | 111 | 54,349 | 163 | 57,456 | 162 | 79576 |
| Tana River county | 42 | 12,615 | 58 | 17,465 | 51 | 7563 |
| Kilifi county | 1 | 426 | 3 | 833 | 70 | 22806 |
| Mombasa county | 122 | 24,249 | 154 | 31,700 | 187 | 40619 |
| Kwale county | 99 | 22,712 | 97 | 29,189 | 105 | 25974 |
| TOTAL | $\mathbf{4 0 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 6 , 5 7 0}$ | 519 | $\mathbf{1 4 8 , 9 7 4}$ | 574 | $\mathbf{1 7 6 , 5 3 9}$ |
| MOLLUSCS |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lamu county | 37 | 4,010 | 52 | 7,355 | 85 | 32,222 |
| Tana River county | 14 | 777 | 24 | 1,425 | 35 | 2098 |
| Kilifi county | 18 | 1,260 | 23 | 1,830 | 109 | 12823 |
| Mombasa county | 61 | 5,484 | 55 | 5,548 | 70 | 7904 |
| Kwale county | 324 | 27,686 | 331 | 31,259 | 330 | 35379 |
| TOTAL | $\mathbf{4 9 5}$ | $\mathbf{4 3 , 6 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{6 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{6 1 , 3 3 1}$ | $\mathbf{6 2 9}$ | $\mathbf{9 0 , 4 2 7}$ |
| MARINE TOTAL | $\mathbf{7 , 9 2 6}$ | $\mathbf{7 2 6 , 7 2 5}$ | $\mathbf{8 , 4 0 6}$ | $\mathbf{8 2 2 , 3 4 1}$ | $\mathbf{8 , 9 4 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 0 0 3 , 8 3 0}$ |
| GRAND TOTAL | $\mathbf{1 3 3 , 6 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 3 , 0 0 1 , 0 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 4 0 , 7 5 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 , 3 6 9 , 4 7 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 6 7 , 7 6 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 9}, 470,579$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 3: Fresh Water and Marine Fish Catches by Species, Weight and Value 2009-2011

| FRSH WATER | 2009 |  | 2010 |  | 2011 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | M. tons | 000 Kshs | M. tons | 000 Kshs | M. tons | 000 Kshs |
| Alestes | 53 | 1,056 | 50 | 1,550 | 286 | 17,092 |
| Bagrus | 306 | 16,759 | 101 | 2,995 | 92 | 5,341 |
| Barbus | 524 | 10,497 | 353 | 10,506 | 82 | 5,208 |
| Black bass | 2 | 185 | 1 | 65 | 3 | 27 |
| Clarias | 2,736 | 311,010 | 6,916 | 763,025 | 6,426 | 879,376 |
| Rastreonobola | 49,326 | 2,219,624 | 47,716 | 2,225,780 | 72,314 | 3,224,846 |
| Labeo | 1,900 | 40,442 | 1,144 | 36,567 | 558 | 38,708 |
| Haplochromis | 822 | 51,621 | 21 | 1,120 | 539 | 22,010 |
| Lates niloticus | 43,650 | 6,705,665 | 39,045 | 6,656,608 | 47,116 | 9,143,763 |
| Momyrus | * | 8 | * | 4 | - | 2 |
| Protopterus | 930 | 54,406 | 3,891 | 234,310 | 1,346 | 114,021 |
| Synodontis | 16 | 309 | 136 | 4,085 | 994 | 54,964 |
| Tilapia niloticus | 17,274 | 2,501,777 | 24,572 | 4,113,299 | 23,144 | 4,427,842 |
| Tilapia others | 5,041 | 204,810 | 3,726 | 184,913 | 2,006 | 151,092 |
| Trout | 51 | 28,050 | 122 | 66,842 | 195 | 107,717 |
| Carps | 1,238 | 74,877 | 1,146 | 91,989 | 1,695 | 147,266 |
| Eels | 1 | 51 | 4 | 228 | 1 | 60 |
| Citharinus | 103 | 2,058 | 63 | 1,845 | 104 | 8,820 |
| Hydrocynus | 229 | 4,578 | 39 | 1,150 | 95 | 6,138 |
| Distichodu niloticus | 1,022 | 20,443 | 812 | 23,920 | 287 | 21,593 |
| Unspecified | 450 | 26,067 | 2,487 | 126,335 | 1,533 | 90,864 |
| TOTAL | 125,674 | 12,274,292 | 132,345 | 14,547,136 | 158,816 | 18,466,750 |
| MARINE FISH |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Demersal | 3,836 | 287,916 | 4,146 | 325,133 | 4,416 | 408,567 |
| Pelagic | 2,401 | 201,538 | 2,344 | 219,628 | 2,444 | 252,767 |
| Sharks/Rays | 232 | 22,384 | 274 | 26,948 | 306 | 31,602 |
| Sardines | 130 | 8,390 | 224 | 14,068 | 211 | 15,238 |
| Unspecified | 425 | 36,318 | 294 | 26,259 | 367 | 28,690 |
| TOTAL | 7,024 | 556,546 | 7,282 | 612,036 | 7,744 | 736,864 |
| CRUSTACEA |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lobster | 84 | 55,321 | 100 | 69,674 | 93 | 80,899 |
| Prawns | 153 | 34,877 | 251 | 51,450 | 275 | 54,719 |
| Crabs | 117 | 19,863 | 168 | 27,850 | 206 | 40,922 |
| Others | 53 | 16,509 | - | - |  |  |
| TOTAL | 407 | 126,570 | 519 | 148,974 | 574 | 176,539 |
| MOLLUSCS |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Oysters | 23 | 501 | 33 | 507 | 30 | 1,903 |
| Squids | 140 | 13,504 | 142 | 17,980 | 46 | 30,832 |
| Octopus | 256 | 20,056 | 407 | 36,697 | 419 | 40,093 |
| Beche-de-mers | 11 | 3,860 | 22 | 6,147 | 134 | 17,600 |
| Others | 65 | 5,688 | - | - |  |  |
| TOTAL | 495 | 43,609 | 604 | 61,331 | 629 | 90,427 |
| TOTAL MARINE | 7,926 | 726,725 | 8,406 | 822,341 | 8,947 | 1,003,830 |
| GRAND TOTAL | 133,600 | 13,001,017 | 140,751 | 15,369,477 | 167,763 | 19,470,579 |

Table 4: Marine Fish landings by Species, Weight and Value 2009-2011

| SPECIES | 2009 |  | 2010 |  | 2011 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | M. tons | 000 Kshs | M. tons | 000 Kshs | M. tons | 000 Kshs |
| DEMERSAL |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rabbit fish | 504 | 45,528 | 675 | 60,281 | 791 | 82,522 |
| Scavenger | 447 | 32,788 | 642 | 53,349 | 683 | 63,759 |
| Snapper | 254 | 20,576 | 298 | 27,477 | 346 | 38,443 |
| Parrot fish | 405 | 28,999 | 475 | 30,444 | 538 | 42,151 |
| Surgeon fish | 85 | 5,472 | 121 | 7,797 | 94 | 8,332 |
| Unicorn fish | 80 | 5,051 | 164 | 11,484 | 154 | 14,692 |
| Grunter | 110 | 9,488 | 149 | 13,215 | 160 | 14,919 |
| Pouter | 154 | 9,858 | 181 | 12,817 | 164 | 14,403 |
| Black skin | 170 | 10,625 | 181 | 13,336 | 174 | 14,146 |
| Goat fishr | 96 | 8,277 | 110 | 9,855 | 115 | 11,460 |
| Steaker | 37 | 2,761 | 30 | 2,593 | 48 | 3,224 |
| Rock cod | 110 | 8,787 | 150 | 12,450 | 198 | 18,861 |
| Cat fish | 86 | 5,914 | 92 | 6,759 | 173 | 15,444 |
| Mixed dermersal | 796 | 56,238 | 878 | 63,276 | 778 | 66,211 |
| Not Acc. for | 500 | 37,554 | - | - | - | - |
| TOTAL | 3,836 | 287,916 | 4,146 | 325,133 | 4,416 | 408,567 |
| PELAGICS |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cavalla jacks | 170 | 14,214 | 227 | 21,667 | 283 | 27,005 |
| Mullets | 232 | 14,317 | 292 | 22,464 | 228 | 22,807 |
| Little mackerels | 268 | 21,967 | 419 | 37,204 | 339 | 32,183 |
| Barracudas | 292 | 25,994 | 281 | 26,924 | 327 | 33,869 |
| Milk fish | 55 | 3,161 | 78 | 5,689 | 63 | 5,578 |
| King fish | 75 | 7,967 | 119 | 13,982 | 173 | 20,835 |
| Queen fish | 70 | 4,639 | 141 | 11,867 | 199 | 20,711 |
| Sail fish | 160 | 17,506 | 165 | 19,360 | 145 | 17,735 |
| Bonitos/Tunas | 295 | 26,437 | 180 | 18,539 | 302 | 33,902 |
| Dolphins | 35 | 2,952 | 41 | 3,321 | 18 | 1,810 |
| Mixed Pelagics | 437 | 36,095 | 400 | 38,612 | 365 | 36,332 |
| Not Acc. For | 313 | 26,288 | - | - | - | - |
| TOTAL | 2,401 | 201,538 | 2,344 | 219,628 | 2,444 | 252,767 |
| Sharks \&Rays | 232 | 22,384 | 274 | 26,948 | 306 | 31,602 |
| Sardines | 130 | 8,390 | 224 | 14,068 | 211 | 15,238 |
| mixed fish/Others | 322 | 27,567 | 294 | 26,258 | 367 | 28,690 |
| Not Acc. For | 103 | 8,751 | - | - | - | - |
| TOTAL | 787 | 67,092 | 792 | 67,274 | 884 | 75,530 |
| CRUSTACEANS |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lobsters | 84 | 55,321 | 100 | 69,674 | 93 | 80,899 |
| Prawns | 153 | 34,877 | 252 | 51,451 | 275 | 54,719 |
| Crabs | 117 | 19,863 | 168 | 27,850 | 206 | 40,922 |
| Not Acc. For | 53 | 16,509 | - | - | - | - |
| TOTAL | 407 | 126,570 | 519 | 148,974 | 574 | 176,539 |
| MISCELLANEOUS |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Oysters | 23 | 501 | 33 | 507 | 30 | 1,903 |
| Beche-de-mers | 11 | 3,860 | 22 | 6,147 | 46 | 30,832 |
| Octopus | 257 | 20,056 | 408 | 36,698 | 419 | 40,093 |
| Squids | 140 | 13,504 | 142 | 17,980 | 134 | 17,600 |
| Not Acc. For | 65 | 5,688 | - | - | - | - |
| TOTAL | 495 | 43,609 | 604 | 61,331 | 629 | 90,427 |
| TOTAL MARINE | 7,926 | 726,725 | 8,406 | 822,341 | 8,947 | 1,003,830 |

Table 5: Marine monthly Fish landing by Species and Weight 2011

| SPECIES | JAN |  | FEB |  | MAR |  | APR |  | MAY |  | JUN |  | JUL |  | AUG |  | SEPT |  | OCT |  | NOV |  | DEC |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DEMERSAL | M. Tons | $\begin{aligned} & 000 \\ & \text { Kshs } \end{aligned}$ | M. Tons | 000 <br> Kshs | M. Tons | 000 Kshs | M. Tons | 000 Kshs | M. Tons | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 000 \\ & \text { Kshs } \end{aligned}$ | M. Tons | 000 Kshs | M. Tons | 000 Kshs | M. Tons | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 000 \\ & \text { Kshs } \end{aligned}$ | M. Tons | 000 <br> Kshs | M. Tons | 000 <br> Kshs | M. Tons | 000 <br> Kshs | M. Tons | $\begin{aligned} & 000 \\ & \text { Ksh } \end{aligned}$ |
| Rabbit fish | 55 | 5,632 | 58 | 5,834 | 74 | 7,693 | 73 | 6,971 | 99 | 8,752 | 64 | 5,843 | 51 | 5,041 | 73 | 8,438 | 66 | 7,235 | 58 | 6,458 | 57 | 6,902 | 64 |  |
| Scarvenger | 64 | 5,896 | 63 | 5,670 | 71 | 6,019 | 54 | 5,035 | 61 | 4,701 | 51 | 3,252 | 43 | 3,936 | 55 | 5,333 | 62 | 6,074 | 51 | 5,458 | 51 | 5,590 | 56 |  |
| Snapper | 31 | 2,678 | 32 | 2,522 | 28 | 2,157 | 17 | 1,547 | 10 | 1,057 | 16 | 1,547 | 18 | 1,765 | 16 | 1,477 | 15 | 1,410 | 15 | 1,430 | 63 | 9,888 | 85 |  |
| Parrot fish | 45 | 3,678 | 42 | 3,416 | 47 | 3,882 | 63 | 4,217 | 64 | 3,935 | 44 | 3,042 | 39 | 3,094 | 45 | 3,651 | 31 | 2,665 | 39 | 3,267 | 38 | 3,531 | 41 |  |
| Surgeon fish | 13 | 887 | 11 | 1,073 | 12 | 1,221 | 7 | 701 | 3 | 277 | 4 | 322 | 7 | 650 | 5 | 490 | 7 | 419 | 8 | 795 | 8 | 768 | 8 |  |
| Unicorn fish | 15 | 1,215 | 21 | 2,074 | 24 | 2,423 | 15 | 1,255 | 7 | 708 | 8 | 789 | 8 | 739 | 8 | 835 | 13 | 1,381 | 11 | 1,009 | 14 | 1,240 | 11 |  |
| Grunter | 14 | 1,321 | 12 | 1,160 | 10 | 1,021 | 12 | 1,096 | 12 | 1,107 | 13 | 1,159 | 10 | 954 | 23 | 1,528 | 12 | 1,218 | 14 | 1,372 | 14 | 1,491 | 14 |  |
| Pouter | 15 | 1,102 | 12 | 954 | 15 | 1,286 | 13 | 1,083 | 13 | 1,120 | 16 | 1,234 | 8 | 1,210 | 16 | 1,366 | 13 | 1,060 | 14 | 1,202 | 16 | 1,493 | 13 |  |
| Black skin | 16 | 1,323 | 11 | 875 | 12 | 933 | 15 | 1,244 | 17 | 1,281 | 15 | 1,125 | 16 | 1,314 | 17 | 1,304 | 16 | 1,239 | 14 | 1,177 | 10 | 988 | 16 |  |
| Goat fish | 10 | 902 | 8 | 816 | 11 | 1,087 | 8 | 863 | 7 | 731 | 9 | 840 | 11 | 1,044 | 10 | 973 | 10 | 998 | 10 | 1,087 | 10 | 1,108 | 10 |  |
| Steaker | 3 | 363 | 3 | 249 | 3 | 218 | 2 | 191 | 3 | 277 | 16 | 142 | 2 | 154 | 3 | 304 | 3 | 213 | 2 | 176 | 6 | 621 | 3 |  |
| Rock cod | 25 | 2,244 | 20 | 1,726 | 13 | 1,143 | 13 | 1,258 | 10 | 1,177 | 15 | 1,213 | 14 | 1,361 | 19 | 1,515 | 19 | 1,742 | 18 | 2,083 | 12 | 1,372 | 19 |  |
| Cat fish | 15 | 1,353 | 14 | 1,036 | 11 | 715 | 12 | 1,209 | 20 | 1,782 | 13 | 1,072 | 9 | 886 | 13 | 946 | 13 | 1,309 | 14 | 1,635 | 21 | 1,925 | 18 |  |
| Mixed dermasal | 63 | 6,100 | 63 | 4,539 | 67 | 5,075 | 89 | 5,440 | 96 | 7,192 | 45 | 5,574 | 73 | 4,794 | 68 | 5,665 | 68 | 5,920 | 48 | 4,601 | 51 | 5,522 | 48 |  |
| TOTAL | 382 | 34,693 | 370 | 31,944 | 398 | 34,873 | 393 | 32,111 | 422 | 34,096 | 329 | 27,152 | 309 | 26,942 | 370 | 33,825 | 347 | 32,883 | 317 | 31,752 | 372 | 42,441 | 406 |  |
| PELAGICS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cavalla jacks | 26 | 2,345 | 30 | 2,662 | 61 | 6,012 | 19 | 1,708 | 14 | 1,143 | 18 | 1,573 | 15 | 1,412 | 15 | 1,463 | 12 | 1,434 | 24 | 2,422 | 24 | 2,217 | 27 |  |
| Mullets | 20 | 1,832 | 16 | 1,389 | 17 | 1,444 | 16 | 3,598 | 24 | 1,966 | 22 | 1,901 | 19 | 1,639 | 20 | 1,900 | 19 | 1,772 | 18 | 1,572 | 17 | 1,752 | 19 |  |
| Little mackerels | 50 | 4,734 | 58 | 5,004 | 33 | 3,217 | 26 | 2,250 | 20 | 2,001 | 18 | 1,787 | 14 | 1,238 | 16 | 1,567 | 20 | 2,490 | 18 | 1,813 | 36 | 3,280 | 30 |  |
| Barracudas | 41 | 3,957 | 41 | 4,032 | 25 | 2,635 | 25 | 2,424 | 23 | 1,974 | 19 | 1,818 | 17 | 1,630 | 26 | 2,518 | 25 | 3,090 | 25 | 2,652 | 31 | 3,413 | 30 |  |
| Milk fish | 6 | 547 | 3 | 237 | 3 | 298 | 4 | 293 | 11 | 953 | 5 | 413 | 5 | 483 | 6 | 540 | 4 | 379 | 5 | 469 | 6 | 544 | 5 |  |
| King fish | 10 | 1,174 | 11 | 1,278 | 19 | 2,047 | 16 | 1,861 | 17 | 2,194 | 15 | 1,746 | 6 | 873 | 18 | 2,225 | 14 | 1,794 | 13 | 1,570 | 16 | 1,875 | 17 |  |


| Queen fish | 13 | 1,151 | 11 | 988 | 17 | 1,680 | 22 | 2,221 | 25 | 2,441 | 9 | 714 | 7 | 739 | 10 | 1,775 | 17 | 1,790 | 16 | 1,672 | 22 | 2,394 | 30 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sail fish | 19 | 2,136 | 22 | 2,459 | 13 | 1,473 | 9 | 1,025 | 5 | 620 | 4 | 549 | 4 | 545 | 6 | 845 | 8 | 1,240 | 9 | 1,077 | 18 | 2,216 | 28 |  |
| Tuna | 42 | 5,054 | 47 | 4,713 | 22 | 1,926 | 32 | 3,631 | 21 | 2,341 | 13 | 1,497 | 20 | 2,135 | 18 | 2,048 | 14 | 1,890 | 15 | 1,597 | 25 | 3,034 | 32 |  |
| Dolphin fish | 2 | 168 | 1 | 113 | 2 | 175 | 1 | 130 | 3 | 212 | 1 | 79 | 2 | 125 | 1 | 92 | 1 | 101 | 2 | 253 | 2 | 222 | 1 |  |
| Mixed Pelagics | 36 | 3,527 | 41 | 3,885 | 43 | 4,281 | 39 | 3,302 | 32 | 2,984 | 19 | 1,853 | 19 | 1,842 | 25 | 2,372 | 27 | 2,652 | 26 | 2,877 | 32 | 3,516 | 27 |  |
| TOTAL | 268 | 26,797 | 285 | 26,968 | 260 | 25,617 | 213 | 22,812 | 194 | 18,830 | 144 | 13,931 | 128 | 12,660 | 159 | 17,346 | 162 | 18,633 | 172 | 17,976 | 229 | 24,463 | 247 | 2 |
| Sharks \& Rays | 24 | 2,558 | 31 | 3,106 | 22 | 2,253 | 20 | 1,369 | 11 | 1,385 | 28 | 3,082 | 25 | 2,522 | 25 | 2,624 | 32 | 3,152 | 23 | 2,553 | 29 | 3,098 | 35 |  |
| Sardines | 34 | 2,225 | 32 | 1,995 | 20 | 1,430 | 15 | 980 | 12 | 830 | 10 | 706 | 9 | 732 | 12 | 782 | 14 | 1,110 | 15 | 1,278 | 10 | 793 | 29 |  |
| mixed fish/Others | 18 | 1,613 | 79 | 2,211 | 91 | 5,565 | 27 | 2,611 | 22 | 2,165 | 14 | 2,173 | 15 | 1,502 | 22 | 2,102 | 14 | 1,453 | 17 | 1,922 | 22 | 2,714 | 27 |  |
| TOTAL | 76 | 6,396 | 142 | 7,312 | 133 | 9,248 | 62 | 4,960 | 45 | 4,380 | 51 | 5,961 | 49 | 4,756 | 59 | 5,509 | 60 | 5,715 | 55 | 5,754 | 61 | 6,605 | 91 |  |
| CRUSTACEANS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lobsters | 10 | 8,203 | 9 | 6,835 | 7 | 5,398 | 7 | 4,980 | 5 | 4,033 | 6 | 5,365 | 5 | 3,215 | 7 | 5,905 | 7 | 6,380 | 8 | 7,492 | 10 | 11,931 | 11 |  |
| Prawns | 18 | 3,741 | 19 | 3,548 | 20 | 4,247 | 25 | 6,191 | 31 | 4,495 | 25 | 4,458 | 20 | 4,149 | 17 | 3,386 | 17 | 4,589 | 24 | 5,423 | 29 | 5,989 | 30 |  |
| Crabs | 16 | 2,642 | 15 | 2,590 | 14 | 2,666 | 18 | 3,209 | 19 | 4,149 | 20 | 3,898 | 18 | 3,919 | 18 | 3,878 | 21 | 5,188 | 12 | 2,079 | 17 | 2,914 | 16 |  |
| TOTAL | 44 | 14,586 | 44 | 12,973 | 41 | 12,310 | 50 | 14,380 | 55 | 12,677 | 51 | 13,721 | 44 | 11,283 | 42 | 13,170 | 46 | 16,157 | 44 | 14,993 | 56 | 20,833 | 57 |  |
| MISCELLANEOUS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Oysters | 1 | 34 | 1 | 28 | 1 | 24 | 1 | 42 | 1 | 63 | 1 | 101 | 1 | 38 | 7 | 54 | 10 | 1,074 | 2 | 131 | 2 | 145 | 2 |  |
| Beche-de-mers | 8 | 5,185 | 2 | 1,494 | 3 | 2,264 | 4 | 5,365 | 3 | 2,402 | 3 | 2,075 | 2 | 1,454 | 4 | 1,042 | 4 | 1,277 | 4 | 877 | 4 | 2,768 | 5 |  |
| Octopus | 40 | 3,583 | 41 | 3,669 | 45 | 3,779 | 45 | 4,895 | 21 | 2,496 | 19 | 1,950 | 25 | 1,973 | 21 | 2,882 | 29 | 2,590 | 45 | 4,472 | 39 | 4,183 | 49 |  |
| Squids | 14 | 1,666 | 13 | 1,464 | 8 | 687 | 11 | 1,440 | 11 | 1,916 | 8 | 838 | 10 | 1,270 | 15 | 2,266 | 11 | 1,542 | 12 | 1,548 | 10 | 1,341 | 11 |  |
| TOTAL | 63 | 10,467 | 57 | 6,654 | 57 | 6,755 | 62 | 11,741 | 36 | 6,878 | 31 | 4,963 | 37 | 4,734 | 46 | 6,244 | 54 | 6,484 | 64 | 7,027 | 55 | 8,436 | 67 | 1 |
| TOTAL MARINE | 834 | 92,939 | 898 | 85,850 | 890 | 88,803 | 781 | 86,004 | 752 | 76,861 | 605 | 65,728 | 567 | 60,376 | 676 | 76,093 | 669 | 79,871 | 652 | 77,502 | 774 | 102,779 | 868 | 11 |

Table 6: Marine Fish landing by Species, Weight, Value and Counties 2011

| Species | Lamu |  | Tana River |  | Kilifi |  | Mombasa |  | Kwale |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DEMERSAL | $\begin{array}{r} \mathrm{M} . \\ \text { Tons } \end{array}$ | 000 Kshs | $\begin{array}{r} \mathrm{M} . \\ \text { Tons } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 000 \\ \text { Kshs } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \mathrm{M} . \\ \text { Tons } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 000 \\ \text { Kshs } \end{array}$ | M. Tons | $\begin{array}{r} 000 \\ \text { Kshs } \end{array}$ | M. Tons | $\begin{array}{r} 000 \\ \text { Kshs } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \mathrm{M} . \\ \text { Tons } \end{array}$ | 000 Kshs |
| Rabbit fish | 338 | 22,047 | 27 | 1,576 | 162 | 22,384 | 108 | 18,858 | 157 | 17,657 | 791 | 82,522 |
| Scarvenger | 295 | 16,729 | 44 | 2,664 | 110 | 14,458 | 84 | 14,866 | 149 | 15,041 | 683 | 63,759 |
| Snapper | 86 | 5,923 | 31 | 1,887 | 140 | 21,111 | 18 | 2,712 | 71 | 6,810 | 346 | 38,443 |
| Parrot fish | 263 | 14,897 | 39 | 2,009 | 50 | 6,100 | 44 | 6,815 | 142 | 12,329 | 538 | 42,151 |
| Surgeon fish | 12 | 711 | 4 | 141 | 33 | 3,510 | 5 | 953 | 40 | 3,016 | 94 | 8,332 |
| Unicorn fish | 8 | 404 | - | - | 56 | 5,355 | 26 | 3,746 | 64 | 5,187 | 154 | 14,692 |
| Grunter | 64 | 4,184 | 2 | 142 | 21 | 2,019 | 43 | 5,917 | 30 | 2,657 | 160 | 14,919 |
| Pouter | 63 | 4,647 | - | - | 10 | 1,087 | 39 | 4,080 | 53 | 4,588 | 164 | 14,403 |
| Black skin | 74 | 4,909 | - | - | 18 | 1,747 | 3 | 627 | 79 | 6,863 | 174 | 14,146 |
| Goat fish | 46 | 3,001 | 3 | 183 | 4 | 459 | 30 | 4,583 | 32 | 3,235 | 115 | 11,460 |
| Steaker | 19 | 365 | - | - | 8 | 993 | - | - | 21 | 1,866 | 48 | 3,224 |
| Rock cod | 61 | 4,192 | 25 | 1,590 | 37 | 5,221 | 10 | 1,680 | 65 | 6,178 | 198 | 18,861 |
| Cat fish | 32 | 1,866 | 72 | 4,334 | 28 | 4,799 | 12 | 1,716 | 29 | 2,729 | 173 | 15,444 |
| Mixed dermersals | 383 | 26,509 | 35 | 1,814 | 196 | 21,992 | 24 | 3,187 | 139 | 12,710 | 778 | 66,211 |
| TOTAL | 1,744 | 110,385 | 283 | 16,340 | 872 | 111,236 | 446 | 69,740 | 1,072 | 100,866 | 4,416 | 408,567 |
| PELAGICS |  |  |  |  | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cavalla jacks | 71 | 4,822 | 34 | 2,030 | 88 | 10,556 | 25 | 3,412 | 65 | 6,186 | 283 | 27,005 |
| Mullets | 95 | 6,330 | 4 | 250 | 46 | 6,023 | 23 | 2,462 | 60 | 7,743 | 228 | 22,807 |
| Little mackerels | - | - | 11 | 664 | 184 | 18,036 | 31 | 3,463 | 114 | 10,020 | 339 | 32,183 |
| Barracudas | 69 | 4,422 | 21 | 1,240 | 121 | 14,465 | 49 | 7,563 | 67 | 6,178 | 327 | 33,869 |
| Milk fish | 28 | 1,625 | - | - | 12 | 1,530 | 6 | 675 | 17 | 1,749 | 63 | 5,578 |
| King fish | 12 | 825 | 73 | 7,280 | 51 | 7,378 | 10 | 1,762 | 28 | 3,589 | 173 | 20,835 |
| Queen fish | 30 | 1,794 | 108 | 11,917 | 30 | 3,941 | 10 | 770 | 20 | 2,289 | 199 | 20,711 |
| Sail fish | 6 | 398 | 3 | 334 | 90 | 10,623 | 30 | 4,569 | 15 | 1,811 | 145 | 17,735 |
| Tuna | 10 | 690 | 33 | 2,295 | 131 | 17,213 | 19 | 2,838 | 109 | 10,866 | 302 | 33,902 |
| Dolphin fish | - | - | - | - | 10 | 930 | - | - | 8 | 881 | 18 | 1,810 |
| Mixed Pelagics | 36 | 2,471 | 29 | 1,723 | 165 | 19,667 | 9 | 948 | 127 | 11,523 | 365 | 36,332 |
| TOTAL | 356 | 23,377 | 316 | 27,732 | 928 | 110,362 | 212 | 28,462 | 631 | 62,835 | 2,444 | 252,767 |
| Sharks \& Rays | 29 | 3,070 | 105 | 7,662 | 54 | 7,119 | 75 | 10,456 | 43 | 3,294 | 306 | 31,602 |
| Sardines | - | - | - | - | 59 | 4,996 | 73 | 6,611 | 79 | 3,631 | 211 | 15,238 |
| mixed fish/Others | 21 | 2,156 | - | - | 239 | 16,593 | 54 | 6,058 | 53 | 3,883 | 367 | 28,690 |


| TOTAL | 50 | 5,226 | 105 | 7,662 | 351 | 28,708 | 202 | 23,125 | 176 | 10,809 | 884 | 75,530 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CRUSTACEANS |  |  |  |  | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lobsters | 45 | 49,183 | 4 | 4,169 | 12 | 10,558 | 7 | 3,233 | 24 | 13,755 | 93 | 80,899 |
| Prawns | 14 | 2,616 | 46 | 3,323 | 33 | 8,940 | 156 | 34,866 | 26 | 4,974 | 275 | 54,719 |
| Crabs | 103 | 27,777 | 1 | 72 | 24 | 3,308 | 23 | 2,520 | 56 | 7,245 | 206 | 40,922 |
| TOTAL | 162 | 79,576 | 51 | 7,563 | 70 | 22,806 | 187 | 40,619 | 105 | 25,974 | 574 | 176,539 |
| MISCELLANEOUS |  |  |  |  | - | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Oysters | 1 | 41 | - | - | 18 | 1,542 | 12 | 320 | - | - | 30 | 1,903 |
| Beche-de-mers | 17 | 26,387 | - | - | 8 | 1,661 | 0 | 12 | 21 | 2,772 | 46 | 30,832 |
| Octopus | 50 | 2,821 | 35 | 2,098 | 64 | 6,323 | 37 | 4,961 | 232 | 23,889 | 419 | 40,093 |
| Squids | 17 | 2,973 | - | - | 19 | 3,297 | 20 | 2,611 | 77 | 8,718 | 134 | 17,600 |
| TOTAL | 85 | 32,222 | 35 | 2,098 | 109 | 12,823 | 70 | 7,904 | 330 | 35,379 | 629 | 90,427 |
| TOTAL MARINE | 2,396 | 250,786 | 789 | 61,396 | 2,331 | 285,935 | 1,116 | 169,850 | 2,314 | 235,863 | 8,947 | 1,003,830 |

Table 7: Lake Victoria Fish landings by Species, Weight and Value 2009-2011

| Species | 2009 |  |  | 2010 |  |  | 2011 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | M. tons | 000 Kshs | $\begin{array}{r} \% \\ \text { Comp } \end{array}$ | M. tons | 000 Kshs | $\begin{array}{r} \% \\ \text { Comp } \end{array}$ | M. tons | 000 Kshs | $\begin{array}{r} \% \\ \text { Comp } \end{array}$ |
| Clarias | 1,112 | 66,720 | 1.02 | 2,535 | 148,711 | 1.96 | 2,537 | 148,710 | 1.90 |
| Rastrineobola | 49,326 | 2,219,624 | 45.28 | 70,000 | 3,138,434 | 54.07 | 72,314 | 3,224,846 | 54.05 |
| Haplochromis | 821 | 51,598 | 0.75 | 527 | 21,272 | 0.41 | 527 | 21,272 | 0.39 |
| Lates niloticus | 42,622 | 6,659,361 | 39.13 | 45,990 | 9,002,495 | 35.52 | 46,612 | 9,100,611 | 34.84 |
| Proptopterus | 636 | 38,131 | 0.58 | 1,167 | 101,117 | 0.90 | 1,166 | 101,118 | 0.87 |
| T. niloticus | 13,850 | 1,731,377 | 12.71 | 6,958 | 942,191 | 5.37 | 8,240 | 1,112,239 | 6.16 |
| Others | 301 | 18,059 | 0.28 | 2,290 | 136,007 | 1.77 | 2,405 | 138,374 | 1.80 |
| TOTAL | 108,668 | 10,784,870 | 100 | 129,467 | 13,490,227 | 100 | 133,801 | 13,847,170 | 100 |

Table 8: Lake Victoria Monthly Fish landings by Species, Weight (M. tons) and Value ('000 Kshs) 2011

| Species |  | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep | Oct | Nov |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| L. niloticus | Weight | 962 | 1,052 | 6,392 | 7,273 | 7,087 | 6,854 | 4,052 | 2,671 | 3,866 | 3,718 | 1,435 | 1 |
|  | Value | 186,322 | 204,122 | 1,249,141 | 1,421,331 | 1,385,469 | 1,339,471 | 791,309 | 521,149 | 755,049 | 727,826 | 278,839 | 240, |
| R. argentea | Weight | 1,597 | 1,777 | 9,895 | 10,990 | 10,962 | 10,626 | 6,396 | 4,250 | 6,072 | 5,736 | 2,109 | 1 |
|  | Value | 68,679 | 80,897 | 444,832 | 492,734 | 482,699 | 472,475 | 285,281 | 189,308 | 270,977 | 257,887 | 94,528 | 84 |
| O. niloticus | Weight | 220 | 274 | 1,080 | 1,233 | 1,261 | 1,194 | 713 | 506 | 659 | 672 | 245 |  |
|  | Value | 28,577 | 35,210 | 144,431 | 164,788 | 171,396 | 162,224 | 97,128 | 68,941 | 89,676 | 91,416 | 33,251 | 25 |
| Clarias | Weight | 51 | 56 | 350 | 398 | 388 | 375 | 221 | 145 | 210 | 203 | 76 |  |
|  | Value | 2,974 | 3,272 | 20,522 | 23,348 | 22,753 | 22,009 | 12,938 | 8,476 | 12,343 | 11,897 | 4,461 | 3 |
| Protopterus | Weight | 23 | 26 | 161 | 183 | 179 | 173 | 102 | 67 | 97 | 93 | 35 |  |
|  | Value | 2,022 | 2,225 | 13,954 | 15,875 | 15,471 | 14,965 | 8,797 | 5,764 | 8,393 | 8,089 | 3,034 | 2 |
| Haplochromis | Weight | 11 | 12 | 73 | 83 | 81 | 78 | 46 | 30 | 44 | 42 | 16 |  |
|  | Value | 425 | 468 | 2,936 | 3,340 | 3,255 | 3,148 | 1,851 | 1,213 | 1,766 | 1,702 | 638 |  |
| Synodontis | Weight | 17 | 19 | 120 | 137 | 133 | 129 | 76 | 50 | 72 | 70 | 26 |  |
|  | Value | 951 | 1,046 | 6,561 | 7,464 | 7,274 | 7,036 | 4,136 | 2,710 | 3,946 | 3,803 | 1,426 |  |
| Others | Weight | 32 | 34 | 208 | 236 | 237 | 224 | 132 | 87 | 128 | 120 | 55 |  |
|  | Value | 1,899 | 2,006 | 12,217 | 13,898 | 14,255 | 13,160 | 7,752 | 5,098 | 7,495 | 7,097 | 3,605 | 2 |
| TOTAL | Weight | 2,913 | 3,250 | 18,279 | 20,533 | 20,328 | 19,654 | 11,738 | 7,806 | 11,148 | 10,655 | 3,996 | 3 |
| TOTAL | Value | 291,848 | 329,246 | 1,894,594 | 2,142,778 | 2,102,571 | 2,034,487 | 1,209,193 | 802,658 | 1,149,644 | 1,109,718 | 419,783 | 360, |

Table 9: Annual fish landings from Lake Victoria by Counties 2011

|  | Busia |  | Bondo |  | Kisumu |  | Homa Bay |  | Migori <br> M. tons | 000 Kshs | Total <br> M. tons | 000 Kshs |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Species | tons | 000 Kshs | M. tons | 000 Kshs | M. tons | 000 Kshs | M. tons | 000 Kshs |  |  |  |  |
| L.nilotucus | 622 | 98,115 | 11,111 | 1,999,928 | 1,081 | 173,415 | 30,515 | 6,114,465 | 3,283 | 714,688 | 46,612 | 9,100,611 |
| R.argentea | 2,313 | 86,412 | 10,368 | 497,647 | 1,797 | 127,200 | 51,411 | 2,251,598 | 6,425 | 261,989 | 72,314 | 3,224,846 |
| O. niloticus | 1,283 | 170,049 | 4,311 | 560,492 | 1,152 | 156,699 | 1,152 | 161,969 | 342 | 63,030 | 8,240 | 1,112,239 |
| Clarias | - | - | 44 | 2,623 | 927 | 57,723 | 1,566 | 88,364 | - | - | 2,537 | 148,710 |
| Protopterus | - | - | 2 | 112 | 418 | 34,455 | 614 | 37,167 | 132 | 29,384 | 1,166 | 101,118 |
| Haplochromis | - | - | - | - | 12 | 613 | 515 | 20,659 | - | - | 527 | 21,272 |
| Synodontis | - | - | - | - | 223 | 10,963 | 649 | 36,577 | - | - | 872 | 47,540 |
| Others | 28 | 2,366 | 861 | 51,671 | 420 | 20,396 | 166 | 8,758 | 57 | 7,643 | 1,532 | 90,834 |
| Total | 4,247 | 356,942 | 26,697 | 3,112,473 | 6,030 | 581,464 | 86,588 | 8,719,557 | 10,239 | 1,076,734 | 133,801 | 13,847,170 |

Table 10: Lake Turkana Fish landings by Species, Weight and Value 2011

| Species | Western side |  | Eastern side |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | M. tons | 000 Kshs | M. tons | 000 Kshs | M. tons | 000 Kshs |
| L. niloticus | 493 | 41,017 | 11 | 2,135 | 504 | 43,152 |
| Tilapias | 1,536 | 116,438 | 31 | 3,003 | 1,567 | 119,441 |
| Labeo | 496 | 33,697 | 62 | 5,008 | 558 | 38,705 |
| Barbus | 79 | 5,177 |  |  | 79 | 5,177 |
| Citharinus | 104 | 8,820 |  |  | 104 | 8,820 |
| Distichodus | 287 | 21,592 |  |  | 287 | 21,592 |
| Clarias | 53 | 3,036 |  |  | 53 | 3,036 |
| Hydrocy forskalii | 95 | 6,138 |  |  | 95 | 6,138 |
| Synodontis | 122 | 7,424 |  |  | 122 | 7,424 |
| Alestes | 286 | 17,092 |  |  | 286 | 17,092 |
| Bagrus | 91 | 5,341 |  |  | 91 | 5,341 |
| TOTAL | 3,642 | 265,772 | 104 | 10,146 | 3,746 | 275,919 |

Table 11: Lake Turkana Monthly Fish landings by Weight and Value 2011

|  | Western side |  | Eastern side |  | Total |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| MONTH | M. tons | $\mathbf{0 0 0}$ Kshs | M. tons | 000 Kshs | M. tons | $\mathbf{K s h s}$ |
| January | 394 | 23,420 | 12 | 1187 | 406 | 24,607 |
| February | 366 | 21,103 | 14 | 1366 | 380 | 22,469 |
| March | 438 | 26,606 | 9 | 919 | 447 | 27,525 |
| April | 221.4 | 16,541 | 5 | 566 | 226.4 | 17,107 |
| May | 300 | 24,092 | 6 | 637 | 306 | 24,729 |
| June | 249 | 18,619 | 7 | 703 | 256 | 19,322 |
| July | 189 | 13,170 | 7 | 531 | 196 | 13,701 |
| August | 243 | 24,146 | 16 | 1561 | 259 | 25,707 |
| September | 345 | 27,161 | 15 | 1410 | 360 | 28,571 |
| October | 272 | 21,310 | 3 | 285 | 275 | 21,595 |
| November | 261 | 20,685 | 3 | 287 | 264 | 20,972 |
| December | 364 | 28,920 | 7 | 694 | 371 | 29,614 |
| TOTAL | $\mathbf{3 , 6 4 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 6 5 , 7 7 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 , 1 4 6}$ | 3,746 | $\mathbf{2 7 5 , 9 1 9}$ |

Table 12: Lake Baringo Monthly landings by Species, Weight and Value 2011

| MONTH | Tilapia |  | Protopterus |  | Clarias |  | Barbus |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Kgs | Kshs | Kgs | Kshs | Kgs | Kshs | Kgs | Kshs | Kgs | Kshs |
| Jan | 272 | 27,200 | 5,347 | 534,700 | 808 | 32,320 | 32 | 1,280 | 6,459 | 595,500 |
| Feb | 222 | 22,200 | 4,641 | 464,050 | 616 | 24,620 | 13 | 520 | 5,491 | 511,390 |
| Mar | 711 | 71,100 | 5,852 | 585,200 | 541 | 21,640 | 12 | 480 | 7,116 | 678,420 |
| Apr | 213 | 21,300 | 5,605 | 560,500 | 883 | 35,300 | 30 | 1,180 | 6,730 | 618,280 |
| May | 1,006 | 100,600 | 5,726 | 572,600 | 456 | 18,220 | 72 | 2,880 | 7,260 | 694,300 |
| Jun | 909 | 90,900 | 5,315 | 531,500 | 451 | 18,040 | 77 | 3,060 | 6,752 | 643,500 |
| Jul | 1,083 | 108,300 | 5,166 | 516,550 | 404 | 16,160 | 58 | 2,320 | 6,711 | 643,330 |
| Aug | 1,326 | 132,600 | 6,638 | 663,800 | 930 | 37,200 | 67 | 2,660 | 8,961 | 836,260 |
| Sep | 2,055 | 205,500 | 6,204 | 620,400 | 795 | 31,780 | 75 | 2,980 | 9,128 | 860,660 |
| Oct | 2,442 | 244,200 | 5,897 | 589,700 | 975 | 39,000 | 44 | 1,760 | 9,358 | 874,660 |
| Nov | 3,257 | 325,700 | 7,177 | 717,650 | 1,273 | 50,920 | 113 | 4,520 | 11,820 | 1,098,790 |
| Dec | 3,351 | 335,100 | 9,941 | 994,100 | 2,025 | 80,890 | 91 | 3,640 | 15,408 | 1,413,730 |
| TOTA | 16,847 | 1,684,700 | 73,508 | 7,350,750 | 10,155 | 406,090 | 682 | 27,280 | 101,191 | 9,468,820 |
|  | Tilapia |  | Protopterus |  | Clarias |  | Barbus |  | Total |  |
|  | $\begin{array}{r} \text { M. } \\ \text { tons } \end{array}$ | 000 Kshs | $\begin{array}{r} \mathrm{M} . \\ \text { tons } \end{array}$ | 000 Kshs | $\begin{array}{r} \text { M. } \\ \text { tons } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 000 \\ \text { Kshs } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { M. } \\ \text { tons } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 000 \\ \text { Kshs } \end{array}$ | M. tons | 000 Kshs |
| Total | 17 | 1,685 | 74 | 7,351 | 10 | 406 | 1 | 27 | 102 | 9,469 |

Table 13: Lake Naivasha Monthly landings by Species, Weight and Value 2011

| Month | Black Bass |  | O. leucosticus |  | Common carps |  | Mirror carps |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Kgs | Kshs | Kgs | Kshs | Kgs | Kshs | Kgs | Kshs | Kgs | Kshs |
| Jan | - | - | 14 | 330 | 29,514 | 2,264,646 | 1,584 | 99,923 | 31,112 | 2,364,899 |
| Feb | - | - | 2 | 135 | 32,060 | 2,258,608 | 1,317 | 90,355 | 33,379 | 2,349,148 |
| Mar | - | - | - | - | 35,401 | 2,288,728 | 1,985 | 91,715 | 37,387 | 2,380,483 |
| Apr | 1 | 50 | 1 | 40 | 29,368 | 2,180,547 | 1,469 | 94,110 | 30,838 | 2,274,747 |
| May | 7 | 940 | 1 | 360 | 29,637 | 2,132,101 | 1,529 | 88,205 | 31,175 | 2,221,746 |
| $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { Jun } \\ \hline \text { Jul } \\ \hline \text { Aug } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | CLOSEDSEASON |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sep | 74 | 9,840 | - | - | 53,028 | 5,110,282 | 2,315 | 56,992 | 55,418 | 5,177,139 |
| Oct | 36 | 6,930 | - | - | 29,367 | 2,557,340 | 1,224 | 83,570 | 30,628 | 2,647,890 |
| Nov | 37 | 6,750 | - | - | 21,903 | 1,900,140 | 968 | 64,711 | 22,908 | 1,971,601 |
| Dec | 5 | 2,350 | 1 | 50 | 14,604 | 1,770,307 | 626 | 68,918 | 15,236 | 1,841,625 |
| TOTAL | 159 | 26,860 | 18 | 915 | 274,882 | 22,462,699 | 13,017 | 738,499 | 288,079 | 23,229,278 |
|  | $\begin{array}{r} \mathrm{M} . \\ \text { tons } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 000 \\ \text { Kshs } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \mathrm{M} . \\ \text { tons } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 000 \\ \text { Kshs } \end{array}$ | M. tons | 000 Kshs | $\begin{array}{r} \mathrm{M} . \\ \text { tons } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 000 \\ \text { Kshs } \end{array}$ | M. tons | 000 Kshs |
| TOTAL | - | 27 | - | 1 | 275 | 22,463 | 13 | 738 | 288 | 23,229 |

Table 14: Lake Jipe Monthly Fish landings by Species, Weight and Value 2011

| Month | Tiilapia |  | Clarias |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | M. tons | 000 Kshs | M. tons | 000 Kshs | M. tons | 000 Kshs |
| Jan | 8 | 484 | 1 | 62 | 9 | 546 |
| Feb | 8 | 608 | 1 | 65 | 9 | 673 |
| Mar | 7 | 732 | 1 | 69 | 8 | 801 |
| Apr | 8 | 763 | 1 | 63 | 9 | 826 |
| May | 7 | 723 | 1 | 57 | 8 | 780 |
| Jun | 7 | 683 | 1 | 53 | 8 | 736 |
| Jul | 7 | 666 | 1 | 46 | 8 | 712 |
| Aug | 7 | 690 | 1 | 41 | 8 | 731 |
| Sep | 8 | 755 | 0 | 34 | 8 | 789 |
| Oct | 8 | 777 | 0 |  |  | 810 |
| Nov | 9 | 874 | 1 | 94 | 10 | 968 |
| Dec | 9 | 899 | 1 | 102 | 10 | 1,001 |
| TOTAL | 93 | 8,654 | 11 | 719 | 104 | 9,373 |

Table 15: Tana River dams Monthly fish landings by Species, Weight and Value 2011

| Month | Tilapia |  | Common carp |  | Clarias |  | Eels |  | Others |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | M. tons | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 000 \\ & \text { Kshs } \end{aligned}$ | M. tons | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 000 \\ & \text { Kshs } \end{aligned}$ | M. tons | $000$ Kshs | M. tons | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 000 \\ & \text { Kshs } \end{aligned}$ | M. tons | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 000 \\ \text { Kshs } \end{array}$ | M. tons | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 000 \\ & \text { Kshs } \end{aligned}$ |
| Jan | 20 | 1,136 | 24 | 1,890 | 12 | 1,030 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 57 | 4,061 |
| Feb | 21 | 1,214 | 20 | 1,523 | 12 | 1,015 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 53 | 3,756 |
| Mar | 30 | 1,756 | 22 | 1,698 | 12 | 1,027 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 63 | 4,485 |
| Apr | 32 | 1,851 | 26 | 2,072 | 16 | 1,469 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 73 | 5,398 |
| May | 32 | 1,955 | 27 | 2,287 | 17 | 1,488 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 2 | 77 | 5,738 |
| Jun | 33 | 1,936 | 26 | 2,138 | 14 | 1,196 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 73 | 5,274 |
| Jul | 39 | 2,396 | 24 | 1,929 | 14 | 1,137 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 76 | 5,468 |
| Aug | 26 | 1,659 | 17 | 1,335 | 9 | 806 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 53 | 3,806 |
| Sep | 26 | 1,828 | 11 | 820 | 13 | 1,117 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 1 | 50 | 3,772 |
| Oct | 25 | 1,615 | 10 | 684 | 13 | 1,162 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 48 | 3,466 |
| Nov | 26 | 1,700 | 12 | 892 | 15 | 1,361 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 1 | 53 | 3,960 |
| Dec | 28 | 2,101 | 13 | 1,040 | 15 | 1,451 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 57 | 4,598 |
| Total | 336 | 21,147 | 232 | 18,307 | 163 | 14,257 | 1 | 60 | 0 | 10 | 732 | 53,781 |

Table 16: Lake Kenyatta Monthly fish landings by Species, Weight and Value 2011

|  | Tilapia |  | Clarias |  | Protopterus |  | Total |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Month | M.tons | 000Kshs | M.tons | 000Kshs | M.tons | 000Kshs | M.tons | 000Kshs |
| January | 10 | 186 | 3 | 131 | 3 | 107 | 16 | 424 |
| February | 8 | 152 | 11 | 377 | 5 | 210 | 23 | 740 |
| March | 5 | 84 | 10 | 435 | 5 | 200 | 19 | 718 |
| April | 5 | 88 | 10 | 435 | 6 | 270 | 20 | 792 |
| May | 12 | 413 | 6 | 272 | 10 | 431 | 27 | 1,115 |
| June | 10 | 147 | 3 | 130 | - | 7 | 13 | 284 |
| July | 10 | 197 | 3 | 130 | - | 1 | 13 | 328 |
| August | 12 | 504 | 4 | 156 | - | 9 | 16 | 670 |
| September | 6 | 259 | 4 | 170 | 8 | 204 | 18 | 633 |
| October | 12 | 499 | 4 | 169 | 15 | 390 | 30 | 1,058 |
| November | 16 | 612 | 4 | 170 | 8 | 187 | 27 | 969 |
| December | 3 | 108 | 6 | 86 | 2 | 76 | 10 | 270 |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{3 , 2 4 9}$ | $\mathbf{6 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 , 6 6 0}$ | $\mathbf{6 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 , 0 9 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 3 3}$ | $\mathbf{8 , 0 0 0}$ |

Table 17: Lake Kanyaboli Monthly fish landings by Species, Weight and Value 2011

|  | Tiilapia |  | Protopterus |  | Clarias |  | Haplochromis |  | Total |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| MONTH | M. <br> tons | $\mathbf{0 0 0}$ <br> Kshs | M. <br> tons | $\mathbf{0 0 0}$ <br> Kshs | M. <br> tons | $\mathbf{0 0 0}$ <br> Kshs | M. <br> tons | $\mathbf{0 0 0}$ <br> Kshs | M. <br> tons | $\mathbf{0 0 0}$ <br> Kshs |
| Jan | 9 | 598 | 1 | 81 | 1 | 112 | 2 | 116 | $\mathbf{1 3}$ | $\mathbf{9 0 7}$ |
| Feb | 9 | 610 | 1 | 107 | 2 | 196 | 0 | 20 | $\mathbf{1 3}$ | $\mathbf{9 3 3}$ |
| Mar | 6 | 396 | 3 | 253 | 1 | 110 | 1 | 40 | $\mathbf{1 1}$ | $\mathbf{7 9 9}$ |
| Apr | 10 | 673 | 2 | 132 | 1 | 72 | 1 | 58 | $\mathbf{1 3}$ | $\mathbf{9 3 5}$ |
| May | 8 | 570 | 3 | 225 | 5 | 377 | 1 | 56 | $\mathbf{1 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 2 2 8}$ |
| Jun | 7 | 519 | 2 | 168 | 4 | 316 | 1 | 51 | $\mathbf{1 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 0 5 5}$ |
| Jul | 7 | 520 | 2 | 175 | 3 | 278 | 1 | 59 | $\mathbf{1 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 0 3 1}$ |
| Aug | 8 | 581 | 2 | 132 | 3 | 263 | 1 | 38 | $\mathbf{1 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 0 1 5}$ |
| Sep | 8 | 549 | 3 | 224 | 2 | 192 | 1 | 83 | $\mathbf{1 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 0 4 8}$ |
| Oct | 12 | 833 | 3 | 265 | 4 | 346 | 2 | 128 | $\mathbf{2 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 5 7 2}$ |
| Nov | 6 | 402 | 6 | 477 | 2 | 172 | 1 | 57 | $\mathbf{1 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 1 0 7}$ |
| Dec | 5 | 372 | 5 | 362 | 4 | 282 | 1 | 32 | $\mathbf{1 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 0 4 8}$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{9 5}$ | $\mathbf{6 , 6 2 2}$ | $\mathbf{3 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 , 6 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{3 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 , 7 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 2}$ | $\mathbf{7 3 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 7 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 2}, 676$ |

Table 18: Tana River delta freshwater fish landings by Species, Weight and Value 2011

| Month | Tilapia |  | Clarias |  | Protopterus |  | Total |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | M.tons | 000Kshs | M.tons | 000Kshs | M.tons | 000Kshs | M.tons | 000Kshs |
| January | 1 | 64 | 2 | 142 | 1 | 46 | 4 | 252 |
| February | 1 | 55 | 2 | 132 | 1 | 62 | 4 | 250 |
| March | 1 | 57 | 2 | 121 | 1 | 67 | 4 | 245 |
| April | 1 | 68 | 3 | 165 | 1 | 69 | 4 | 302 |
| May | 1 | 73 | 2 | 167 | 1 | 71 | 5 | 309 |
| June | 1 | 62 | 2 | 147 | 1 | 68 | 4 | 277 |
| July | 1 | 59 | 2 | 152 | 1 | 60 | 4 | 271 |
| August | 1 | 75 | 3 | 156 | 1 | 59 | 5 | 290 |
| September | 1 | 79 | 3 | 201 | 2 | 117 | 6 | 396 |
| October | 2 | 96 | 3 | 184 | 1 | 51 | 5 | 331 |
| November | 1 | 48 | 2 | 147 | 2 | 125 | 5 | 320 |
| December | 1 | 85 | 1 | 88 | 1 | 64 | 4 | 237 |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 3}$ | $\mathbf{8 2 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 8 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 1}$ | $\mathbf{8 5 9}$ | 53 | $\mathbf{3 , 4 8 0}$ |

Table 19: Exports of Fish and Fishery Products 2011

| Commodity | M. Tons | 000Kshs |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Nile perch Fillets | 8,297 | 3,193,148 |
| Fish maws | 45 | 42,664 |
| Lobsters | 23 | 24,686 |
| Live Lobsters | 22 | 14,697 |
| Octopus | 903 | 283,159 |
| Cuttle fish | 2 | 343 |
| Sword fish | 9 | 974 |
| Squids | 1 | 138 |
| Dried salted fish | 80 | 4,811 |
| Bech-der-mer | 11 | 4,079 |
| Sharks | 55 | 6,367 |
| Shark fins | 5 | 866 |
| Marine shells | 113 | 4,177 |
| Crabs | 23 | 4,136 |
| Dried whole Haplochromis | 23 | 7,144 |
| TOTAL | 9,612 | 3,591,389 |
| Tuna loins | 9,821 | 607,263 |
| Grand total | 19,433 | 4,198,652 |

Table 20: Imports of Fish and Fishery Products 2011

| Product | Quantity (Kgs/Pieces) | Value ('000Kshs) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Salmon | 57 | 11,073 |
| Tilapia niloticus | 131 | 45,344 |
| Tuna | 107 | 2,978 |
| Ornamental fish | 1 | 1,048 |
| Sardines | 529 | 15,706 |
| Frozen Mackerels | 1,605 | 58,673 |
| Frozen Pangasius fillets | 36 | 3,504 |
| Frozen Kahawai | 114 | 3,484 |
| Sharks | 81 | 3,220 |
| Others | 3 | 835 |
| TOTAL | 2,664 | 145,865 |
| Trout Ova | 100,000 Pieces | 170,100 |

