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## NATIONAL FISH PRODUCTION

The fisheries sector plays a significant role in the social and economic development through the sector's positive contribution to employment creation, revenue generation and food security - all of which are crucial for the attainment of the Millennium Development Goals. During the year 2010 the sector supported a total of 76,263 people directly as fishers/farmers deriving their livelihood from the various fishery resources in the country. Out of this number, 14,120 were fish farmers while the rest were fishermen. During the same period the sector supported about a million people directly and indirectly, working as fishers, traders, processors, suppliers and merchants of fishing accessories and employees and their dependants.

The Kenyan fishery is mainly artisanal with very few commercial/industrial vessels targeting mainly shrimps and several tens of purse seines and long liners owned by Distant Water Fishing Nations (DWFN) which operate under Kenyan license in our Economic Exclusive Zone (EEZ) targeting Tuna and Tuna like species. The commercial/industrial vessels did not operate during the year under review for there was a ban on trawling. The artisanal fishery accounts for almost all the inland and marine water catches and consequently it is currently the most important fishery in the country, even though our EEZ which is predominately for commercial fishing is under exploited with an estimated potential of between 150,000 to 300,000 metric tons (Commonwealth secretariat report 2003 by Dr. George Habib).

During the year under review, total national fish production was 140,751 metric tons with an ex-vessel value of Kshs $15,369,477,000$ (approximately US $\$$ 197million). During the same period, the country earned over Kshs. 4.1billion (approximately US \$ 54 million) in foreign exchange from export of fish and fishery products. Fish production in the country has been declining since 1999 when the highest quantity ( 214,709 metric tons) of fish was landed but the exvessel value has been increasing year after year (figure 1).

Inland capture fisheries contributed $85.4 \%$ of Kenya's total fish production, with the principal fishery being that of Lake Victoria. The lake accounted for 111,868 metric tons or $79.5 \%$ of the country's total annual fish production in 2010. Lake Turkana, Kenya's largest freshwater body ( $7,400 \mathrm{~km}^{2}$ ) produced 6,430 metric tons of fish with an ex-vessel value of Kshs. 271,687,000. Other freshwater-bodies of commercial importance include lakes Naivasha, Baringo, Jipe, the Tana river dams and the Tana river's delta. Marine artisanal fish production was 8,406 metric tons equivalent of $6.0 \%$ of the national production while aquaculture production amounted to 12,153 metric tons contributing $8.6 \%$
of the total production, figure 2. Aquaculture earned the farmers Kshs. $2,620,790.000$ during the year under review.

The fish and fish products produced in the country are marketed domestically, or exported to the international markets. The main fish and fishery products exported during the year under review included Nile perch fillets, Nile perch maws, Octopus, Marine shells, Crabs and Sword fish. Fish and fishery products imported into the country included the following frozen products among others: Mackerels, Lizard fish, Sardines, tuna, Herrings, Kahawai, Tilapia, and Barracuda.


Figure 1: Fish production by quantity and Value 1999-2010


Figure 2: National fish production by Fishery Category 2010
The main challenges facing fisheries sub sector in Kenya today is conservation of fisheries resources and aquaculture development.

### 2.0 CAPTURE FISHERIES

Capture fisheries in Kenya is mainly from lakes Victoria, Turkana, Naivasha, Baringo, Jipe, Tana River dams, Tana river delta and Indian Ocean and it accounted for $91.4 \%$ down from $96.3 \%$ the previous year. Lake Victoria accounted for $86.99 \%$ of all the fish from capture fisheries in Kenya during the year under review. Lake Turkana contributed $5.00 \%$, Tana river dams $0.45 \%$, Kenyatta $0.29 \%$, Tana river delta $0.28 \%$, Lake Kanyamboli $0.17 \%$, Lake Naivasha $0.16 \%$, Lake Jipe $0.08 \%$ and Lake Baringo $0.04 \%$ while marine artisanal fisheries contributed $6.54 \%$ of all the fish from capture fisheries.

In capture fisheries, gill netting was the most used fishing method during the year. The other methods included use of gears such as long line hooks, hand line, traditional traps, trolling, cast nets and small (mosquito) seines for Rastrineobola argentea fishing. There are other methods which were used but are currently prohibited due to their destructive nature. They include; Beach seining, Monofilament gill netting, Trawl netting, Scuba diving, spear gunning and vertical integration of gears.

### 2.1 LAKE VICTORIA FISHERY

Lake Victoria, the second largest lake in the world is also the largest lake by area in Africa; covering a surface area of $68,000 \mathrm{~km}^{2}$ and a catchment area of $184,000 \mathrm{~km}^{2}$. The lake fishery is a shared resource with Uganda (45 \%), Tanzania (49\%) while Kenya has only $6 \%$ of the lake which translates to 3,755 $\mathrm{km}^{2}$ in surface area.

The lake has recorded a total of 500 endemic and introduced fish species with 90 $\%$ of them from the cichlid family. The fish community in the lake supports a vibrant fishery based mainly on cyprinid and cichlid families. The historical trends in the fish catches over the last twenty one years in Lake Victoria are shown in figure 3. A salient feature is the declining pattern of catches of all species over the years due to problems associated with overcapacity.

From 1963 through mid 1970s Lake Victoria fish production remained below 20,000 metric tons per year. However, this state of the fishery changed from the early 1980s, when the landings steadily increased to levels of well over 130,000 metric tons by 1989. The highest landed volume was 200,153 metric tons in 1999 a figure that fell by about 94,000 metric tons four year later in 2003. Between 2004 and 2006 production from the lake was increasing steadily having increased from 115,747 metric tons in 2004 to 143,908 metric tons in 2006. After that the production has been declining reaching a low of 117,231 in 2007 then further declined to 111,369 in 2008. In 2009 the lake's fish production further declined to 108,934 metric tons before increasing slightly to 111,868 metric tons in 2010 with an ex-vessel value of Kshs 11,543,125,000.

During the year under review, Lake Victoria continued to dominate the country's nominal fish catches contributing 79\%. This year's production reflected an increase in catches from 108,934 metric tons to 111,868 metric tons in the years 2009 and 2010 respectively. There was a corresponding increase in ex-vessel value of the catches from Kshs $10,800,831,000$ in year 2009 to
$11,543,125,000$ in year 2010 mainly due to steep increases in the price of fish particularly for Lates niloticus


Figure 3: Trends of the main fish species composition in annual catches of Lake Victoria (K) in the last twenty one years

For the last six consecutive years Rastrineobola argentea has continued to dominate the catches of lake Victoria, Figure 4. In 2010, the species catch composition was dominated by Rastrineobola argentea, Lates niloticus, Oreochromis niloticus besides other species respectively. These were the most commercially important species, figure 5.


Figure 4: Lake Victoria species catch composition 2005-2010


Figure 5: Lake Victoria species catch composition 2010

The bulk of the fish landings from lake Victoria was landed in the county of Homa bay ( $61.5 \%$ ) followed by Siaya ( $25.7 \%$ ), Busia ( $5.6 \%$ ), Migori ( $5.4 \%$ ) and lastly Kisumu ( $1.8 \%$ ), figure 6.


Figure 6: Lake Victoria Fish Landings by Counties 2010

### 2.1.1 Challenges to Lake Victoria fisheries

The declining trend in fish catches over the last ten years could be an indicator of reduced fish stocks and every effort towards fish stock rejuvenation, including restocking, deserve attention since it directly threatens food security and income for livelihoods, which eventually culminates into hunger, joblessness, wrangles among the stakeholders, increased crime rates and social aspects.

Significant change in attitude by fishers towards conservation of the lakes' resources is necessary to curb on the illegalities within the lake.

During the better part of the review period water hyacinth was a real problem to fishing activities by virtually blocking most landing sites and fishing grounds resulting into relocation of fishers to the open areas.

There are weaknesses in the collection of statistics and fisheries related data, as most of the data collected is not accompanied by general observations and discussions. Providing training to the BMUs on data collection and resource management might be a solution to this problem.

The major challenge afflicting the fisheries of Lake Victoria is still mainly attributed to over fishing and habitat degradation. These have had adverse impacts to the fishery by changing the species diversity and reduction in total harvestable biomass.

Over fishing is caused typically by problems associated with open access fisheries as outlined below:

## a). Increased number of fishers and fishing crafts

The number of fishers in Lake Victoria (Kenyan side) has increased from 38,431 in 2000 to 41,912 in 2010. The number of fishing crafts increased from 11,515 in 2000 to 14,251 in 2010 on the Kenyan side of the lake while lake wide fishers increased from 129,305 to 194,172 and fishing crafts from 42,519 to 64,595 during the same period. (Lake Victoria (Kenya) biennial fisheries frame survey 2010 National report and Lake Victoria biennial fisheries frame survey 2010 Regional report).

## b). Increase in legal and illegal fishing nets:

The effort in terms of legal gear such as gill net and long line hooks have increased tremendously. The number of legal gill net of mesh size $>5$ inches increased from 99,821 in 2000 to 165,355 in 2010 while the number of the illegal mesh sizes $<5$ inches increased from 33,544 to 47,638 during the same period. Generally, the total number of gillnets of all mesh sizes continued to increase over the years with an increase of $159.8 \%$ between 2000 and 2010.The number of Long line hooks had the highest increase during the same period having increased from $1,039,893$ to $2,710,395$ an increase of $160.6 \%$. Other illegal nets, such as monofilament gillnets, have increased from 58 in 2004 to 469 in 2006, 4, 190 in 2008 before declining to 1,468 in 2010. Efforts to remove these destructive gears should be stepped up and the effects of the expanding long line fishery in particular need to be evaluated and the fishery regulated accordingly.

Factors responsible for habitat degradation are as outlined below:

## Increased Pollution

a). Point and Non-point Pollution: Both point and non-point pollution from the riparian and catchment activities has increased the pollutant load in the Lake. The growing towns around the Lake shores have non-functional or inadequate solid waste treatment plants while their population is on the rise. There is too much fertilizer and other chemicals, including plastics, entering the lake due to agriculture, industrial, trade and other development activities.
b). Invasive Weeds: Invasive weeds, such as the water hyacinth and Hippo grass have re-surfaced due to the highly fertilized and nutrient levels in the lake water.
c). Soil Erosion: Soil erosion due to deforestation and other poor land use practices in the catchment area have led to deteriorating environmental health status of the rivers and the lake waters as a home for fish and other living organisms that are part of the food chain/food web.

The Ministry of Fisheries Development is concerned about the sustainability of Lake Victoria Fisheries. Scientists have advised that the fish stocks are continuously declining and unless this is effectively dealt with, the sustainability of the fishery remains under threat. This will eventually have a negative impact on other business and the fishers.

All stakeholders especially fish processors and gear distributors should collaborate with fisheries management in order to manage Lake Victoria fisheries resources sustainably together. As it has been noted above, many illegal gears are still in use and this can only be controlled with the cooperation of all the stake holders.

### 2.2 ARTISANAL MARINE FISHERY

Capture fisheries is the main type of fisheries in the Marine waters predominantly undertaken by artisanal fishers in the shallow waters and within the reef using small non mechanized fishing crafts. Semi industrial fishing vessels do land their catches in Mombasa for export and local consumption although they did not operate for there was a ban imposed on trawling during the year under review.

Fishing activities by the artisanal fishers is influenced by the weather pattern. During the month of September to March when the north east monsoon winds (Kazi kazi) blow, the sea is calm and there is a lot of fishing activities and fish landings are normally high during that time. As from April to August, the landings do decrease due to string south east monsoon winds (Kusi) prevailing during that time which renders the sea rough thus unfavorable for fishing voyages.

The territorial waters cover 12 nautical miles where the artisanal fishermen do operate from, while the Exclusive Economic Zone (EEZ) covers 200 nautical miles from the Coast line. The marine fishery is estimated to have a potential of between $150,000-300,000$ metric per year. At the moment the EEZ fishery is still being exploited by Distance Water Fishing Nations (DWFNs) with little knowledge on the amount of fish being caught due to lack of Monitoring, Control and Surveillance (MCS) system.

During the year under review, a total of 8,406 metric tons of assorted fish species valued at Ksh. 822,341,000 to the fishers were landed. This production reflected an increase of $6.1 \%$ from last year's production of 7,929 metric tons. The landings were done at some 141 landing sites distributed all along the whole stretch of the Kenyan Coastline.

Landings from artisanal fishery have been increasing, declining then increasing in cycles while the value of the fish has maintained an upward trend over the years. Fish production from the marine artisanal fishery has remained fairly constant between 5,000 and 8,000 metric tons over the years only showing marginal fluctuations as shown in figure 7 below.


Figure 7: Trends of marine fish production by quantity and value 1999-2010
In 2010, dermersal fish species category dominated the marine artisanal fish landings by contributing 4,146 metric tons ( $50 \%$ ) of the landings while pelagic fish category contributed 2,344 metric tons ( $28 \%$ ), the sharks, rays and sardines category made up 792 metric tons ( $9 \%$ ) of the landings, mollusks 604 ( $7 \%$ ) and crustaceans 519 metric tons ( $6 \%$ ), figures 8 and 9 .


Figure 8: Percentage marine fish species group contribution 2010


Figure 9: Trends of marine fish species landings 2008-2010

The aggregated fish production from the marine and coastal fisheries has remained fairly constant. There has been fluctuation in production quantities when analyzed at County level but generally the trend and order of contribution has remained very similar. In this case Kwale continued to be the leading county, followed by Lamu, Kilifi, Mombasa and Tana River respectively as shown in figure 10.


Figure 10: Marine fish production by Quantity, Value and Counties 2010
The most common fishing gears used by the artisanal fishers were gillnets, traditional traps (usio, malema), seine nets (which include beach, prawn and reef seines), long line hooks, hand lines cast nets and trammel nets among others.

### 2.3 LAKE TURKANA FISHERY

Lake Turkana is Africa's fourth largest lake by volume and Kenya's largest inland lake measuring about 249 km long by 48 km at its widest part, with a delta extending into Ethiopia. It lies in a closed basin 365 meters above sea level. The lake has three volcanic islands namely the north, central and south islands. The central island has three saline crater lakes known for endemic species of tilapias. The islands are listed as UNESCOs world heritage sites.

Over $90 \%$ of the annual water discharge by volume is from river Omo originating from the Ethiopian highlands while the rest is from seasonal rivers Kerio and Turkwel. River Omo drains a large portion of the south western highlands of Ethiopia and therefore influences fluctuations in the lake's water level, which in turn affects the amount (or abundance) of fish stocks and hence fish production from the lake. With no surface outlet, the water budget is a balance between river inflow and evaporation which imposes special physical chemical conditions making the lake saline. Therefore any activities dealing with water abstraction or damning that interferes with the natural discharge rates of river Omo has a negative effect on the lake volume levels.

The lake has about 48 species of fish with a dozen supporting a commercial fishery. The species exploited commercially include, Nile perch (Lates niloticus), Tilapia (Oreocromis niloticus), Catfish (Clarias gariepinus), synodontis schall, Hydrocynus forskalii, Momyrus spp, Labeo horie, Bagrus spp, Distichodus niloticus, Citharinus spp, citharus, Barbus spp and Alestes spp. The fishery is characterized by decadal boom and bust cycles in fish landings associated with fluctuations in lake levels due to the dynamics of the climatic conditions especially precipitation leading to filling and drying up of the Ferguson's gulf. The filling up of the Ferguson's gulf is associated with boom in fish catches especially tilapias. The peripheral communities entirely rely on fishing directly supporting about 7,000 fishers and 6,500 fish traders and transporters.

During the year under review, a total of 6,430 metric tons of fish were landed with a ex-vessel value of Kshs. 272 millions. This years' production had a decline of $32 \%$ in quantity and $11 \%$ in ex-vessel value compared to 2009 production. This decline was attributed to the inflow of river Omo, which is the lake's main feeder which drastically reduced due to persistent drought and sustainable use upstream. The trends in annual fish catches from Lake Turkana are determined by the lakes' water level and for that the catches have been unpredictable for a long time, figure 11.

During the year under review, Tilapia spp dominated the landings by contributing $46.8 \%$ followed by Laleo horie (17.8\%), Distichodus niloticus (12.6\%), Lates niloticus (10.4\%), Barbus spp (5.5\%) and Synodontis spp (2.1\%). All the other species combined contributed the remaining 4.8\%, figure 12.


Figure 11: Trends in annual fish landings from Lake Turkana fishery 19882010


Figure 12: Species composition in catches of Lake Turkana Fishery 2010
One of the major challenges in the exploitation of the Lake Turkana fishery is lack of cold storage facilities within reach forcing all fishers to sale almost all
there catches as dried or smoked products which are inferior products and consequently fetch highly reduced market prices per nominal unit weight.

There is also need to evaluate the data collection system in the region due to the expansiveness of the lake shoreline and build capacity of the local fishers groups and Beach Management Units (BMUs) through training to effectively undertake primary data collection. With fisheries staff strength of just 9 persons, it is important to enlist the support of the fishing communities to help in collecting timely and accurate data for planning and policy review.

Some of the main challenges facing Lake Turkana fishery particularly the western side and which need to addressed include the following:

- Intermittent transport due to lack of effective transport system;
- Regular unpredicted strong winds patterns which hinder fishing activities;
- Lack of appropriate fish handling and preservation facilities that lead to post harvest losses and poor quality of fish and fish products;
- Poor state of landing sites, lack/poor access roads which make marketing impossible at some landing sites such as Todonyang and Namukuse landing sites;
- Armed conflicts between the Turkana in Kenya and the Dasanach in Ethiopia over fishing and grazing grounds in the River Omo delta. Many lives have been lost especially on the Kenyan side;
- Weak and unfavorable fish marketing systems along the fish landing sites;
- The ever receding water levels means diminishing fish breeding grounds especially in the River Omo delta and the Ferguson gulf which have greatly affected fish stock;
- The prevailing high temperatures in Turkana immensely contribute to fish spoilage hence loss of income to fishers and traders.

There is an urgent need to develop a sound management plan for lake Turkana fishery.

### 2.4 LAKE NAIVASHA FISHERY

The present fish population of Lake Naivasha comprises of the introduced species including large mouth bass (Micropterus salmoides) which was introduced in 1927, 1951 and 1956 from the United States of America, Tilapia zilli introduced form Lake Victoria in 1956. The introduction of Tilapia zilli also contained Oreochromis leucostictus and other tilapine species which are presently not encountered in the lake. The exotic rainbow trout (Onchorhynchus mykiss) occasionally strays into the lake from river Malewa while Barbus
amphigramma migrates between the lake and the river. The Louisiana red swamp cratfish (Procambarus clarkii) was introduced in 1970 as a source of food for the bass. The crayfish and Barbus amphigramma are not under commercial exploitation currently in the lake.

Lake Naivasha commercial fishery had been declining before the year 2001 necessitating a one year ban on fishing in 2001. n the subsequent years, up to date, there has been an annual closed season during the breeding season ( $1^{\text {st }}$ June to $31^{\text {st }}$ August), to allow the fish stocks to recover. The recent accidental introduction of Common carp (Cyprinus carpio) has created a shift in the fish production. The Cyprinus carpio is believed to have come through river Malewa from Nyandarua highlands during the El-Nino period of 1998-1999.

Species composition in the catches from the lake has drastically changed since the year 2002 where total catches were dominated by the tilapiines. However over the last eight years, tilapiines contribution in catches has declined with the introduced Cyprinus carpio assuming greater prominence in the catches.

It is imperative for management and research to understand the implications of the Cyprinus carpio on the other fish species in the ecosystem. Besides, it is also important to understand the effects of the feeding habits of the Cyprinus carpio a detritivore, on the breeding grounds/nests of the tilapiines in the fishery.

During the year under review, a total of 209 metric tons of fish with an ex-vessel value of Kshs. 12,711, 911 were landed from Lake Naivasha. This was a decline of $69.6 \%$ in quantity and $58.3 \%$ in value compared to 2009 landings of 688 metric tons valued at Kshs 31,470,000 to the fishers. Common carp (Cyprinus carpio) continued to be the most dominant species accounting for $96.37 \%$ $(201,502 \mathrm{Kg})$ of the total catch. The other species have been on the decline with Mirror carp accounting for $3.26 \%(6,819 \mathrm{Kg})$, Micropyerus salmoides) $0.32 \%$ ( 672 Kg ) lake 'Naivasha tilapia' (Oreochromis leucostictus) accounting for only $0.05 \%$ ( 98 Kg ), figure 13

In February there were incidents of dead fish being caught in the fishing gears and this led to a two (2) weeks imposed closure of the lake for fishing to monitor the situation. Average monthly catches from that month declined up to the end of the year and this contributed to the decline in annual catch figure figure 14.


Figure 13: Lake Naivasha species percentage landings 2010


Figure 14: Lake Naivasha monthly catches 2010

During the year 2010, a total of 50 fishing crafts were licensed to operate in the lake for a period of 9 months ( 3 months were closed fishing season) and these were operated by an average of 150 fishers per month.

The annual closed season on fishing activities in Lake Naivasha was effected from $1^{\text {st }}$ June to $30^{\text {th }}$ September during the year under review, as a part of management measure to allow the fishery to recover. Other management measures employed included control of the fishing effort and protection of breeding areas. Collaborative research on the lake fishery was conducted by the Kenya Marine and Fisheries Research Institute (KMFRI), in collaboration with the Department of fisheries and fisher folk.

### 2.5 LAKE BARINGO FISHERY

Lake Baringo is one of the Rift valley lakes with a surface area of $130 \mathrm{~km}^{2}$ and a mean depth of 5.6 metres. The lake has river El Molo, Perkerra and Ol arabel as the main inlets but with no obvious outlet and the waters are assumed to seep through to the underground bedrock which is believed to be volcanic

The fishery of Lake Baringo is currently based on four species including Oreochromis niloticus (Tilapia), Barbus gregorii, Barbus lineomaculatus, Clarias mossambicus and Protopterus aethiopicus which was introduced in the lake.

The fishery was previously based on the tilapiine species, however owing to changes in the lakes biophysical processes such as siltation and species introductions, the fishery is currently dominated by Protopterus aethiopicus.

During the year under review a total of $53,320 \mathrm{Kg}$ of fish with an ex-vessel value of Kshs. 4,529,066 were landed. This was a huge decline of $72 \%$ in quantity and $61 \%$ in value compared last year's production of $192,00 \mathrm{Kg}$ valued at Kshs. 11,562 191.

The species catch composition was dominated by Protopterus aethiopicus having contributed $72 \%$ followed by Clarias (24\%), Tilapia (3\%) and Barbus with $1 \%$, figure 15 .


Figure 15: Percentages catch species composition in Lake Baringo in 2010

### 2.6 LAKE JIPE AND NEIGHBOURING DAMS FISHERY

During the year 2010, a total of 103 metric tons of both Clarias and Tilapia valued at Kshs $6,017,000$ were landed. This reflected a small decline 0 f $5.5 \%$ in quantity and $4.96 \%$ in value compared to previous year 2009 production. The only two species (Tilapia and Clarias) caught in the lake showed a steady average production of 8 metric tons per month for Tilapia and 1 metric ton for Clarias. Tilapia contributed $88 \%$ and Clarias $12 \%$, figure 16.


Figure 16: Percentages catch species composition in Lake Jipe in 2010
The fishing activities of the lake were undertaken by an average of 50 fishers using 35 fishing crafts. The fishers fished with an average of 37 gillnets, 1,200 hand line hooks and 54 local traps (Migono).

### 2.7 TANA RIVER DAMS FISHERY

The year 2010 bore the blunt of the severe drought that bit the country through the years from 2008/09. The drought saw a serious drop in water levels in all the Tana River dams with Masinga dam being the most hit since its water had to be released to refill the dawn stream dams in a desperate effort by KenGen company to produce power for the country. During that drought period, three of the four landing sites in Masinga dam namely Mananja, Riakanau and Tumutumu had to be vacated after drying up. Due to loss of the extensive fishing grounds, hundreds of fishers concentrated around the water spill.

The beginning of the year 2010 was characterized by heavy rains which fitted the El-nino phenomena. The rains extended to the month of May and they were a blessing to the fishery of Tana dams as the landing sites that had been rendered
inhabitable by the drought were by then beginning to show some activities and catch records began to be recorded from them.

It is worthy noting that though the Tana dams filled up to capacity during the year under review, the downward trend of fish production depicted in the year 2009 continued to be exhibited over the year. During the year a total of 583 metric tons of fish were landed from the Tana dams which was a slight decline of 1 metric tons ( $0.2 \%$ ) from 584 metric tons landed in 2009, figure 17. Exvessel value of the fish landed in 2010 was Kshs. $37,391,210$ as opposed to Kshs. 33,536,000 realised in 2009.


Figure 17: Tana River dams' fish catch trends 2005-2010
The contribution of the landings by dams was as follows: Masinga dam 357 metric tons ( $61 \%$ ), Kamburu 101 metric tons ( $17 \%$ ) and Kiambere 125 metric tons ( $21 \%$ ) while by landing sites Mananja had the lion's share of 141 metric tons ( $24 \%$ ) of the total dams landings. This was followed by Ekalakala 106 metric tons ( $18 \%$ ), Kisumu ndogo 101 metric tons ( $17 \%$ ), Tumutumu 73 metric tons (13\%), Katooni/Korokocho 68 metric tons (12\%), Jua kali 57 metric tons ( $10 \%$ ) and finally Riakanau with 37 metric tons or $6 \%$ of the total landings from the dams.

The most important species in the catches included Tilapia spp (223 metric tons or $38.2 \%$ ), Cyprinus carpio (Common carp) 209 metric tons (35.9\%) and

Clarias spp 145 metric tons (25.2\%). The other species (Momyrus, Eels and Barbus) contributed less than $0.7 \%$.

Fishing was by passive methods namely gillnetting, traditional traps and hooks. The fishing effort was estimated at 284 fishers per month using 166 fishing crafts and on average operating 4,786 gillnets 12,951 hooks and 1,537 traditional traps. Most of the fish harvested is sold fresh, dry or smoked in Nairobi and the neighboring local markets.

### 2.8 LAKE KENYATTA FISHERY

During the year under review a total of 369 metric tons of fish with an ex-vessel value of Kshs. 11,014,953 was landed from Lake Kenyatta in Lamu district of the coast province. The catch composition from this lake comprised of three species namely Tilapia, Protopterus and Clarias. Tilapia contributed $43 \%$ of the total catch, Protopterus $29 \%$ and Clarias $28 \%$ figure 18. The fishing effort was 120 fishers using 60 fishing crafts. Fishing was mainly passive with gillnetting, long line hooks and hand line hooks being the most common methods of fishing.


Figure 18: Percentages catch species composition in Lake Kenyatta in 2010

### 2.9 LAKE KANYABOLI FISHERY

This is a complex of wetlands in the delta of Yala river, on the north-east shore of Lake Victoria. The predominant vegetation is papyrus (Cyperus papyrus), with Phragmites mauritianus in shallower areas and swamp grasses around the periphery. A thick fringe of papyrus surrounds Lake Kanyaboli, which merges with the main swamp. The swamp acts as a natural filter for a variety of biocides and other agricultural pollutants from the surrounding catchment, and also effectively removes silt before the water enters Lake Victoria.

During the year under review a total of 215 metric tons of fish were landed valued at Ksh. 11,329,000. The main species in catches were Tilapia which contributed $65.6 \%$ of the total catch followed by Protopterus ( $16.3 \%$ ), Clarias (14.9\%) and Haplochromis (3.3\%). The fishing activities were undertaken by 120 fishers operating 60 fishing crafts.

### 3.0 AQUACULTURE (FISH FARMING)

During the year under review the Ministry of Fisheries Development rolled out the Fish Farming Enterprise Productivity Programme (FFEPP) under the Economic Stimulus Programme (ESP) and the Economic Recovery, Poverty Alleviation and Regional Development Programme (ERPARDP). The fish farming enterprise productivity programme phase I under the national economic stimulus programme was implemented over the year under review while FFEPP phase II under the economic recovery, poverty alleviation and regional development programme began towards the end of the year. Development of commercial aquaculture was the main function throughout the country. This was executed through extension services, farmers' training, implementation of government's fish farming enterprise productivity programmes and provision of quality fish seed. The African catfish (Clarias gariepinus), Nile Tilapia (Oreochromis niloticus), Common carp (Cyrinus carpio) and Rainbow trout (Onchorynchus mykiss) were the main species cultured in the country.

The Fish Farming Enterprise Productivity Programme aims at promoting culture of fish for food, profits and employment, and to supplement the capture fisheries in-terms of supply of fish, which currently is acutely threatened. Sustainable fish production can contribute to food security and maintain adequate supply of fish and other aquaculture products create wealth and reduce poverty.

Fish farming production during the year was 12,153 metric tons valued at Kshs. $2,620,794,000$ to the farmers compared to 4,895 metric tons valued at Kshs. $1,041,420,000$ in 2009 . Of the total farmed fish production, Nile tilapia
contributed $75 \%$ ( 9,115 metric tons), African catfish $18 \%$ ( 2,188 metric tons), Common carp $6 \%$ ( 729 metric tons) and Rainbow trout $1 \%$ ( 122 metric tons), figure 19. This production was from 15,529 ponds with an area of $4,678.390$ metres square, 161 tangs measuring 23,085 metres square and 331 dams with an area of $5,473,346$ square metres throughout the country.


Figure 19: Aquaculture production by species 2010
There were constraints which affected aquaculture during the year and they can be categorized into two broad categories namely:
(i) Constraints that affected our clients (farmers)
(ii) Constraints that affected the service provider i.e. the Ministry and the Department.

### 3.1 Constraints that affected our clients

1. Lack of readily available and affordable quality fish seed (fingerlings);
2. Lack of good quality and affordable fish feeds;
3. Exorbitantly higher prices of fish nets and other basic aquaculture inputs;
4. Inefficient aquaculture production technologies;
5. Water scarcity due to other competing uses - industry, domestic and agriculture;
6. Lack of and /or inadequate accurate market information for use by fish farmers;
7. Lack of good credit facilities and schemes for fish farmers;
8. Lack of suitable insurance schemes appropriate to aquaculturists;
9. Security and safety of fish in ponds posed by thieves and predators
10.Multifarious diseases and parasites;
11.Limited land sizes that disqualified some willing individuals from constructing the FFEPP ponds.

### 3.2 Constraints that affected the service provider

1. Sub optimal staffing levels especially extension personnel;
2. Weak legislation governing the sector;
3. Weak or no linkages of the department with universities, research institutions, regional and international organizations concerned with fisheries issues. This curtails the departments' access to critical important information on new aquaculture technologies, markets future potential and challenges for the sector;
4. Inadequate facilitation in terms of transport and timely funds towards carrying out of fisheries extension service provision.

### 3.3 Opportunities and potential

Despite of the above constraints, there exists opportunities and potential for aquaculture growth that would greatly enhance the country's economy and raise rural incomes. These include:

1. Ornamental fish farming to supply existing Kenyan and East African Community markets;
2. Intensification of aquaculture to raise production from the current 12,000 metric tons worth 2.6 billion Kenya shillings to 20,000 metric tons worth 4.8 billion Kenya shillings in the next two years;
3. Development of dam fisheries through careful restocking and exploitation programs plus promotion of cage culture, which can yield thousands of tons of fish annually;
4. Promotion of high value trout fish culture in all the cold zones of the country
5. Ancillary industries: With the envisioned rise in aquaculture, other auxiliary industries will spring up e.g. production of fish feed, fish processing, ice making plants and transportation among others.

Management and ownership of fish ponds is mainly by individual fish farmers while self-help groups are the ones who manage dams in the country. Fisheries extension staff assists the farmer in the best pond and dam management practices.

The department policy on shifting fish farming from subsistence to commercial enterprise demands increased and reliable fish seed production for the farmers.

### 4.0 EXPORTS OF FISH AND FISHERY PRODUCTS

During the year under review, a total of 11,998 metric tons of fish and fishery products were exported earning the country Kshs. 4,173,924,000 in foreign exchange. The export products were mainly Nile perch fillets, fish maws, Octopus, Sword fish, marine Shells, Crabs, Sardines, Sharks fins and sea Cucumbers. Nile perch fillets exports accounted for $85.8 \%$ of the total quantity and $85.6 \%$ of the total earnings. Fish maws contributed $4.6 \%$ in quantity and $10.5 \%$ in value while Octopus contributed $5.8 \%$ in quantity and $3.8 \%$ in value. This year's Nile perch fillets export increased by $6 \%$ from the previous years' export of 9,712 metric tons. By country destination, Israel had the lion's share of Nile perch exports at 3,962 metric tons or $38.5 \%$ of the total Nile perch exports. Israel was followed by Netherlands with 1,860 metric tons (18.1\%), Portugal with 763 metric tons ( $7.4 \%$ ), Spain 598 metric tons (5.8\%), UAE 499 metric tons (4.8\%) and Germany with 459 metric tons (4.5\%) among others, figure 20.

By product type the exports of frozen Nile perch fillets contributed the highest percentage of $62.5 \%$ ( 6,433 metric tons) followed by fresh fillets $29.6 \%(3,047$ metric tons), frozen headless and gutted Nile perch $7.9 \%$ ( 811 metric tons) then fresh headless and gutted Nile perch $0.03 \%$ ( 3 metric tons) figure 21.


Figure 20: Exports of Nile Perch By destinations- 2010


Figure 21: Exports of Nile perch by product type 2010
Apart from the above mentioned exports, 9,207 metric tons of Tuna loins were processed and trans-shipped through the port of Mombasa. This quantity was an increase of $27.8 \%$ from the previous year's trans-shipment of 7,209 metric tons.

### 5.0 IMPORTS

In 2010, Kenya imported 3,150 metric tons of fish and fishery products worth Kshs $109,400,000$. The imports were mainly composed of frozen mackerels with 1,749 metric tons ( $55.2 \%$ ), frozen sardines 485 metric tons ( $15.3 \%$ ), frozen tilapia 91 metric tons ( $3.5 \%$ ) and frozen kahawai 259 metric tons ( $8.2 \%$ ), figure 22. The imports originated largely from Asian countries, notably India, Pakistan, Korea and China.

Some 35,104 pieces of Ornamental fish worthy Kshs 503,144 were imported from Thailand, Malaysia and Singapore while 200,000 ova eggs worthy Kshs 311,850 were imported from USA and UK.


Figure 22: Import of fish and fish products 2010

### 6.0 LAKE VICTORIA FISHERIES FRAME SURVEYS 2010

One Frame Surveys was conducted during the year under review on Lake Victoria fisheries. The survey was a complete census of crafts, gears, and fishers operating and all landing sites facilities. This was the sixth lake wide Frame survey to be conducted in the lake others having been conducted in 2000, 2002, 2004, 2006 and 2008.

The overall objective of the Frame Survey was to provide information on the facilities and services at landing sites and the composition, magnitude and distribution of fishing effort to guide development and management of the fisheries resources in the lake.

The specific objectives were to provide information on:
a) The number of fish landing sites;
b) The facilities available at the fish landing sites to service the sector including accessibility;
c) The service providers, especially fisheries staff and Beach Management Units (BMUs) at the fish landing sites;
d) The number of fishers;
e) The number and types of fishing crafts and their mode of propulsion;
f) The number, types and sizes of fishing gears used and their mode of operation.

The key management questions which the Frame Survey was seeking to answer included:
a) Are the number of landing sites and fishing crafts increasing or decreasing?
b) Are the numbers of fishers increasing or decreasing?
c) Are the types of gillnets and their mesh sizes changing?
d) Are the numbers of illegal fishing gears increasing or decreasing?
e) Are the facilities on the landing sites changing (toilets, banda, electricity, potable waters, cold room, fish store, accessibility to all weather road, designated net and boat repair facilities, and pantoons/jetties)?
f) Are service providers adequate (Fisheries staff and BMUs)?
g) What is the situation of fishing crafts propulsion?

The outputs which were expected from the Frame Survey were as follows:
a) Information on the number of fish landing sites;
b) Information on the facilities available at the fish landing sites to service the fisheries sector including those landing sites that can be accessed by all weather roads;
c) Information on the number of fishers and how the number changed since the last surveys;
d) Information on the number and types of fishing crafts and how the number changed since the previous Frame Surveys;
e) Information on the modes of propulsion of the fishing craft to provide an insight on how far the crafts can fish;
f) Information on the number, types and sizes of fishing gears especially the number of illegal fishing gears in the fishery;
g) An indication of the impact of management measures e.g. enforcement of the legal fishing gears and methods;
h) Recommendations on development and management of the fisheries resources.

The results of Lake Victoria fisheries Frame survey 2010 are summarized and presented in tables 1.

From the results of the six Frame surveys conducted on lake Victoria fisheries the following Conclusions and recommendations were made:-

1. There is little improvement in facilities servicing the fisheries sector at the fish landing sites. The low coverage in the basic hygiene requirements at landing sites, especially toilet facilities and portable water still raises serious concern. The BMU leadership at landing sites should be sensitized to prioritize sanitation. A mechanism to plough back part of the revenue collected from licensing and other levies in the fisheries sector should be setup to provide for improvement of facilities at the landing sites.
2. The number of resident fisheries staff at landing sites is inadequate. There is need to deploy more fisheries field staff and facilitate them to establish offices at landing sites. The capacity of BMUs to undertake some of the functions of fisheries staff should also be enhanced.
3. The total number of fishers and fishing crafts has increased substantially since 2000 Frame survey. In order to address this increased fishing effort, the following actions are recommended:
i. New entry of crafts and fishers should be controlled;
ii. Unregistered and/or unlicensed fishing crafts and fishers should be removed from the fisheries;
iii. The attitude of licensing authorities in the fisheries sector of increasing the number of fishers and crafts to increase revenue collection should be discouraged to control fishing effort and enhance sustainable fisheries exploitation;
iv. Options for alternative livelihood should be assessed and developed;
v. The use of dugouts, parachutes and rafts, which do not meet the required safety standards of fishing crafts, should be prohibited.
4. The use of illegal fishing gears is still rampant in the lake despite MCS efforts. Efforts to remove these destructive gears should be stepped up. It is recommended that:
i. The strategy of involving communities in combating illegal fishing by engaging them in policing of the resource should be strengthened;
ii. BMU should take the lead in prohibiting illegal fishing and fishing gears in their respective areas;
iii. Awareness raising programs targeting fishing communities through different mass media e.g. radios, TV, posters and public rallies should be strengthened;
iv. The Fisheries laws should be amended to provide for confiscation of illegal gears and materials at all levels, i.e. importation, manufacture, transportation, sale and use in fishing;
v. Informers should be planted in hot spot areas to provide quick and reliable information on where the illegal fishing gears are used.
5. There is need to establish the level of optimal fishing effort that marches the available stocks in order to guide regulation of effort. This could be achieved by analyzing the results of Frame Surveys together with those of related surveys such as catch assessment, trawl and hydro-acoustics. The Fisheries Management Decision Support Tool should be used to assess the optimal effort.

## NB

The following symbols have been used in this Bulletin:
$0 \quad$ Meaning Nil

* Meaning the value was less than half of the unit used
- Meaning no data was available

Table 1: Summary Results of Lake Victoria Fisheries Frame Survey 2010

| Landings/Fishers | Busiao | Bondoa | Kisumu | Nyando | Rachuonyo | Homa Bay | Suba | Migoria | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number of landing | 23 | 86 | 33 | 7 | 38 | 6 | 107 | 31 | 331 |
| Number of fishers | 3,196 | 1,2024 | 2,682 | 467 | 2,643 | 597 | 15,131 | 5,172 | 41,912 |
| Fishing Craft type | Busiao | Bondoa | Kisumu | Nyando | Rachuonyo | Homa Bay | Suba | Migoria | Total |
| Dug out | - | 56 |  | - | 1 | - | 7 | 1 | 65 |
| Foot Fishers | 2 | 27 | 17 |  | 2 | 2 | 17 | 30 | 97 |
| Parachute | 171 | 864 | 387 | 122 | 161 | 80 | 657 | 713 | 3,155 |
| Rafts |  | 16 |  |  |  |  | 2 | 15 | 33 |
| Sesse flat at one end | 179 | 325 | 24 | - | 5 | - | 1220 | 366 | 2119 |
| Sesse pointed at both ends | 797 | 2,791 | 621 | 64 | 839 | 150 | 2,950 | 568 | 8,780 |
| Total | 1,149 | 4,079 | 1,049 | 186 | 1,008 | 232 | 4,855 | 1,693 | 14,251 |
| Gill nets | Busiao | Bondoa | Kisumu | Nyando | Rachuonyo | Homa Bay | Suba | Migoria | Total |
| Gill net, mesh size < 21/2" | 954 | 321 | 4,300 | 190 | 1,043 | 1,415 | 974 | 183 | 9,380 |
| Gill net, mesh size $2^{1} 22^{\prime \prime}$ | 1,141 | 2,452 | 1,866 | 42 | 1,076 | 859 | 2,534 | 234 | 10,204 |
| Gill net, mesh size 3" | 1,155 | 589 | 990 | 85 | 799 | 295 | 706 | 600 | 5,219 |
| Gill net, mesh size $3112{ }^{\prime \prime}$ | 295 | 499 | 1,002 | 187 | 873 | 261 | 425 | 363 | 3,905 |
| Gill net, mesh size 4" | 49 | 725 | 2,348 | 336 | 2,460 | 281 | 856 | 71 | 7,126 |
| Gill net, mesh size 41/2" | 180 | 876 | 1,791 | 375 | 4,433 | 434 | 1,411 | 24 | 9,524 |
| Gill net, mesh size 5" | 728 | 2,857 | 5,489 | 760 | 4,631 | 787 | 2,334 | 389 | 17,975 |
| Gill net, mesh size $51 / 2{ }^{\prime \prime}$ | 211 | 2,195 | 3,136 | 761 | 2,5248 | 663 | 2,121 | 116 | 11,727 |
| Gill net, mesh size 6" | 188 | 1,573 | 1,174 | 241 | 2,820 | 194 | 2,643 | 158 | 8,991 |
| Gill net, mesh size 61/2" | 217 | 392 | 47 | 94 | 278 | 23 | 2,646 | 95 | 3,792 |
| Gill net, mesh size 7" | 315 | 422 | 459 | 75 | 418 | 20 | 1,618 | 184 | 3,511 |
| Gill net, mesh size $71 / 2{ }^{\prime \prime}$ | - | 20 |  | 38 | 45 | 15 | 155 | - | 273 |
| Gill net, mesh size 8" | 62 | 29 | 8 | 46 | 110 | - | 122 | - | 377 |
| Gill net, mesh size 9" | - | 66 | - | 13 | - | - | 60 | - | 139 |
| Gill net, mesh size 10" | 51 | 30 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 81 |
| Gill net, mesh size > 10" | 11 | 300 | 14 | - | - | - | - | - | 325 |
| Total gill nets | 10,199 | 27,633 | 24,354 | 3,231 | 22,934 | 5,412 | 90,802 | 28,310 | 212,875 |
| Long lines, Size <4 | - | 2,645 | 200 | - | - | - | 400 | - | 3,245 |
| Long lines, Size 4-7 | 2,292 | 18,365 | 3980 | 2,600 | 4,850 | 5130 | 27,253 | 32,600 | 97,070 |
| Long lines, Size 8-10 | 86,545 | 306,470 | 84330 | 3,891 | 219,740 | 12880 | 489,295 | 361,488 | 1,564,039 |


| Long lines, Size > 10 | 145,918 | 311,288 | 40620 | 16740 | 40,530 | 1,000 | 439,689 | 50,460 | 1,046,041 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total long lines | 234,755 | 638,968 | 129,130 | 23,231 | 265,120 | 19,010 | 956,733 | 444,548 | 2,710,395 |
| Small seine, mesh size <=5 mm | 181 | 726 | 9 | 2 | 97 | 0 | 757 | 277 | 2,001 |
| Small seine, mesh size 69 mm | 17 | 238 | 140 | 51 | 8 | - | 354 | 29 | 837 |
| Small seine, mesh size 10 mm | 1 | 4 | 43 | 28 | 16 | - | 27 | 24 | 143 |
| Total small seines | 199 | 968 | 191 | 82 | 121 | 0 | 1138 | 330 | 2,981 |
| Beach seine | 40 | 291 | 43 | - | 38 | 53 | 471 | 55 | 991 |
| Cast net | 29 | 94 | 10 | - | - | - | 7 | 3 | 143 |
| Hook and line/Handline | 1,606 | 3868 | 429 | - | 9 | 12 | 2,521 | 2755 | 11,210 |
| Monofilament | 75 | 1,063 | 7 |  | 1 | 4 | 273 | 45 | 1,468 |
| Traps/Baskets | 91 | 977 | 348 | 321 | 17 | 77 | 89 | 20 | 1,940 |
| Propulsion method | Busiao | Bondoa | Kisumu | Nyando | Rachuonyo | Homa Bay | Suba | Migoria | Total |
| Foot fishers | 2 | 27 | 17 |  | 2 | 2 | 17 | 30 | 97 |
| Inboard engine | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | - | 8 | - | 10 |
| Outboard engine | 66 | 176 | 6 | - | 2 | - | 1181 | 342 | 1773 |
| Paddles | 715 | 2,637 | 499 | 103 | 165 | 151 | 2,565 | 711 | 7,546 |
| Sail | 366 | 1239 | 498 | 83 | 839 | 79 | 1083 | 610 | 4,797 |
| Grand Total | 1,149 | 4,079 | 1,049 | 186 | 1,008 | 232 | 4,855 | 1,693 | 14,251 |

Table 2: Fish landings by Weight, Value, number of Fishers and Fishing crafts by Areas 2010

| Freshwater | M. tons | 000 Kshs. | No.Fishers/Farmers | Fishing crafts/ponds |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lake Victoria | 111,868 | 11,543,125 | 41,912 | 14,152 |
| Lake Turkana | 6,430 | 271,687 | 7,000 | 1,650 |
| Lake Baringo | 53 | 4,529 | 47 | 47 |
| Lake Naivasha | 209 | 12,712 | 150 | 50 |
| LakeJipe/Dams | 103 | 6,017 | 50 | 35 |
| Lake Kanyaboli | 215 | 11,329 | 182 | 98 |
| Lake Kenyatta | 369 | 11,015 | 120 | 60 |
| Tana River dams | 583 | 37,391 | 284 | 166 |
| Fish Farming | 12,153 | 2,620,794 | 14,120 | 15,529 |
| Tana River delta | 362 | 28,537 | 299 | 40 |
| Total | 132,345 | 14,547,136 | 64,164 | 31,827 |
| Marine water |  |  |  |  |
| Dermersal | 4,146 | 325,133 |  |  |
| Pelagic | 2,344 | 219,628 |  |  |
| Crustaceans | 519 | 148,974 |  |  |
| Other Marine | 792 | 67,274 |  |  |
| Miscellaneous | 605 | 61,332 |  |  |
| Total Marine | 8,406 | 822,341 | 12,077 | 2,687 |
| Grand Total | 140,751 | 15,369,477 | 76,241 | 34,514 |

Table 3: Quantity and value of Fish landings to Fishers 2008-2010

|  | 2008 |  | 2009 |  | 2010 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| FRESHWATER | M. tons | 000 Kshs | M. tons | 000 Kshs | M. tons | 000 Kshs |
| L. Victoria | 111,369 | 9,429,765 | 108,934 | 10,800,831 | 111,868 | 11,543,125 |
| L. Turkana | 8,070 | 229,171 | 9,445 | 305,178 | 6,430 | 271,687 |
| L. Naivasha | 225 | 13,384 | 688 | 31,470 | 209 | 12,712 |
| L. Baringo | 262 | 10,065 | 191 | 11,562 | 53 | 4,529 |
| L. Jipe/Dams | 109 | 6,470 | 109 | 6,331 | 103 | 6,017 |
| Lake Kanyaboli | 164 | 10,193 | 31 | 1,554 | 215 | 11,329 |
| Lake Kenyatta | 292 | 8,535 | 369 | 12,401 | 369 | 11,015 |
| Tana River Dams | 1,302 | 62,205 | 584 | 33,536 | 583 | 37,391 |
| Fish Farming | 4,452 | 917,860 | 4,895 | 1,041,420 | 12,153 | 2,620,794 |
| Tana river delta | 427 | 30,001 | 428 | 30,009 | 362 | 28,537 |
| TOTAL | 126,672 | 10,717,649 | 125,674 | 12,274,292 | 132,345 | 14,547,136 |
| MARINE FISH |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lamu District | 2,028 | 92,920 | 1,943 | 109,585 | 2,056 | 112,215 |
| Tana River District | 89 | 5,593 | 85 | 5,382 | 276 | 20,194 |
| Malindi District | 1,345 | 113,677 | 1,061 | 104,210 | 1,516 | 156,110 |
| Kilifi District | 817 | 66,647 | 593 | 50,691 | 485 | 45,253 |
| Mombasa District | 676 | 76,086 | 858 | 103,542 | 926 | 116,939 |
| Kwale District | 2,606 | 186,031 | 2,484 | 183,136 | 2,024 | 161,325 |
| TOTAL | 7,561 | 540,954 | 7,024 | 556,546 | 7,283 | 612,036 |
| CRUSTACEA |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lamu District | 151 | 54,187 | 111 | 54,349 | 163 | 57,456 |
| Tana River District | 42 | 12,614 | 42 | 12,615 | 58 | 17,465 |
| Malindi District | 71 | 21,500 | 32 | 12,219 | 44 | 12,331 |
| Kilifi District | 25 | 3,947 | 1 | 426 | 3 | 833 |
| Mombasa District | 192 | 31,818 | 122 | 24,249 | 154 | 31,700 |
| Kwale District | 97 | 22,564 | 99 | 22,712 | 97 | 29,189 |
| TOTAL | 578 | 146,630 | 407 | 126,570 | 519 | 148,974 |
| MOLLUSCS |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lamu District | 16 | 4,071 | 37 | 4,010 | 52 | 7,355 |
| Tana River District | 13 | 777 | 14 | 777 | 24 | 1,425 |
| Malindi District | 93 | 9,106 | 41 | 4,392 | 119 | 13,914 |
| Kilifi District | 57 | 2,945 | 18 | 1,260 | 23 | 1,830 |
| Mombasa District | 59 | 5,221 | 61 | 5,484 | 55 | 5,548 |
| Kwale District | 359 | 27,062 | 324 | 27,686 | 331 | 31,259 |
| TOTAL | 597 | 49,182 | 495 | 43,609 | 604 | 61,331 |
| MARINE TOTAL | 8,736 | 736,766 | 7,926 | 726,725 | 8,406 | 822,341 |
| GRAND TOTAL | 135,408 | 11,454,415 | 133,600 | 13,001,017 | 140,751 | 15,369,477 |

Table 4: Fresh Water and Marine Fish Catches by Species, Weight and Value 2008-2010

| FRSH WATER | 2008 |  | 2009 |  | 2010 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | M. tons | 000 Kshs | M. tons | 000 Kshs | M. tons | 000 Kshs |
| Alestes | 145 | 2,893 | 53 | 1,056 | 50 | 1,550 |
| Bagrus | 58.5 | 2,251 | 306 | 16,759 | 101 | 2,995 |
| Barbus | 418 | 8,386 | 524 | 10,497 | 353 | 10,506 |
| Black bass | 2 | 229 | 2 | 185 | 1 | 65 |
| Clarias | 2,269 | 270,702 | 2,736 | 311,010 | 6,916 | 763,025 |
| Rastreonobola | 46,966 | 1,650,286 | 49,326 | 2,219,624 | 47,716 | 2,225,780 |
| Labeo | 1,900 | 39,097 | 1,900 | 40,442 | 1,144 | 36,567 |
| Haplochromis | 5,423 | 314,514 | 822 | 51,621 | 21 | 1,120 |
| Lates niloticus | 45,026 | 6,017,748 | 43,650 | 6,705,665 | 39,045 | 6,656,608 |
| Momyrus |  | 6 |  | 8 | * | 4 |
| Protopterus | 3,077 | 259,032 | 930 | 54,406 | 3,891 | 234,310 |
| Synodontis | 20 | 409 | 16 | 309 | 136 | 4,085 |
| Tilapia niloticus | 12,732 | 1,751,812 | 17,274 | 2,501,777 | 24,572 | 4,113,299 |
| Tilapia others | 5,092 | 179,028 | 5,041 | 204,810 | 3,726 | 184,913 |
| Trout | 49 | 26,950 | 51 | 28,050 | 122 | 66,842 |
| Carps | 947 | 59,115 | 1,238 | 74,877 | 1,146 | 91,989 |
| Eels | * | 28 | 1 | 51 | 4 | 228 |
| Citharinus | 13 | 263 | 103 | 2,058 | 63 | 1,845 |
| Hydrocynus | 18 | 361 | 229 | 4,578 | 39 | 1,150 |
| Distichodu niloticus | 765 | 15,315 | 1,022 | 20,443 | 812 | 23,920 |
| Unspecified | 1,751 | 119,223 | 450 | 26,067 | 2,487 | 126,335 |
| TOTAL | 126,672 | 10,717,649 | 125,674 | 12,274,292 | 132,345 | 14,547,136 |
| MARINE FISH |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Demersal | 4,092 | 274,088 | 3,836 | 287,916 | 4,146 | 325,133 |
| Pelagic | 2,572 | 193,379 | 2,401 | 201,538 | 2,344 | 219,628 |
| Sharks/Rays | 183 | 16,655 | 232 | 22,384 | 274 | 26,948 |
| Sardines | 151 | 10,570 | 130 | 8,390 | 224 | 14,068 |
| Unspecified | 553 | 46,262 | 425 | 36,318 | 294 | 26,259 |
| TOTAL | 7,551 | 540,954 | 7,024 | 556,546 | 7,282 | 612,036 |
| CRUSTACEA |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lobster | 112 | 58,830 | 84 | 55,321 | 100 | 69,674 |
| Prawns | 243 | 50,608 | 153 | 34,877 | 251 | 51,450 |
| Crabs | 148 | 18,067 | 117 | 19,863 | 168 | 27,850 |
| Others | 75 | 19,125 | 53 | 16,509 | - |  |
| TOTAL | 578 | 146630 | 407 | 126,570 | 519 | 148,974 |
| MOLLUSCS |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Oysters | 33 | 2,903 | 23 | 501 | 33 | 507 |
| Squids | 162 | 11,854 | 140 | 13,504 | 142 | 17,980 |
| Octopus | 291 | 22,415 | 256 | 20,056 | 407 | 36,697 |
| Beche-de-mers | 33 | 5,595 | 11 | 3,860 | 22 | 6,147 |
| Others | 78 | 6,415 | 65 | 5,688 | - |  |
| TOTAL | 597 | 49182 | 495 | 43,609 | 604 | 61,331 |
| TOTAL MARINE | 8,726 | 736,766 | 7,926 | 726,725 | 8,406 | 822,341 |
| GRAND TOTAL | 135,398 | 11,454,415 | 133,600 | 13,001,017 | 140,751 | 15,369,477 |

Table 5: Marine Fish landings by Species, Weight and Value 2008-2010

| SPECIES | 2008 |  | 2009 |  | 2010 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | M. tons | $\begin{array}{r} 000 \\ \text { Kshs } \end{array}$ | M. tons | 000 Kshs | M. tons | 000 Kshs |
| DEMERSAL |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rabbit fish | 484 | 34,546 | 504 | 45,528 | 675 | 60,281 |
| Scarvenger | 499 | 33,769 | 447 | 32,788 | 642 | 53,349 |
| Snapper | 244 | 19,326 | 254 | 20,576 | 298 | 27,477 |
| Parrot fish | 315 | 18,303 | 405 | 28,999 | 475 | 30,444 |
| Surgeon fish | 75 | 4,618 | 85 | 5,472 | 121 | 7,797 |
| Unicorn fish | 107 | 6,655 | 80 | 5,051 | 164 | 11,484 |
| Grunter | 135 | 9,909 | 110 | 9,488 | 149 | 13,215 |
| Pouter | 127 | 9,046 | 154 | 9,858 | 181 | 12,817 |
| Black skin | 179 | 11,813 | 170 | 10,625 | 181 | 13,336 |
| Goat fish | 98 | 7,463 | 96 | 8,277 | 110 | 9,855 |
| Steaker | 56 | 3,642 | 37 | 2,761 | 30 | 2,593 |
| Rock cod | 127 | 9,069 | 110 | 8,787 | 150 | 12,450 |
| Cat fish | 74 | 4,978 | 86 | 5,914 | 92 | 6,759 |
| Mixed dermasal | 1,039 | 65,201 | 796 | 56,238 | 878 | 63,276 |
| Not Acc. for | 533 | 35,750 | 500 | 37,554 | - | - |
| TOTAL | 4,092 | 274,088 | 3,836 | 287,916 | 4,146 | 325,133 |
| PELAGICS |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cavalla jacks | 219 | 15,014 | 170 | 14,214 | 227 | 21,667 |
| Mullets | 236 | 15,274 | 232 | 14,317 | 292 | 22,464 |
| Littla mackerels | 212 | 16,853 | 268 | 21,967 | 419 | 37,204 |
| Barracudas | 325 | 25,988 | 292 | 25,994 | 281 | 26,924 |
| Milk fish | 91 | 6,929 | 55 | 3,161 | 78 | 5,689 |
| King fish | 77 | 7,276 | 75 | 7,967 | 119 | 13,982 |
| Queen fish | 85 | 5,640 | 70 | 4,639 | 141 | 11,867 |
| Sail fish | 105 | 9,609 | 160 | 17,506 | 165 | 19,360 |
| Tuna | 320 | 23,229 | 295 | 26,437 | 180 | 18,539 |
| Dolphin fish | 28 | 2,674 | 35 | 2,952 | 41 | 3,321 |
| Mixed Pelagics | 539 | 39,670 | 437 | 36,095 | 400 | 38,612 |
| Not Acc. For | 335 | 25,223 | 313 | 26,288 | - | - |
| TOTAL | 2,572 | 193,379 | 2,401 | 201,538 | 2,344 | 219,628 |
| Sharks \&Rays | 183 | 16,655 | 232 | 22,384 | 274 | 26,948 |
| Sardines | 151 | 10,570 | 130 | 8,390 | 224 | 14,068 |
| Mixed fish | 447 | 36,677 | 322 | 27,567 | 294 | 26,258 |
| Not Acc. For | 116 | 9,585 | 103 | 8,751 | - | - |
| TOTAL | 897 | 73,487 | 787 | 67,092 | 792 | 67,274 |
| CRUSTACEANS |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lobsters | 112 | 58,830 | 84 | 55,321 | 100 | 69,674 |
| Prawns | 243 | 50,608 | 153 | 34,877 | 252 | 51,451 |
| Crabs | 148 | 18,067 | 117 | 19,863 | 168 | 27,850 |
| Not Acc. For | 75 | 19,125 | 53 | 16,509 | - | - |
| TOTAL | 578 | 146,630 | 407 | 126,570 | 519 | 148,974 |
| MISCELLANEOUS |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Oysters | 33 | 2903 | 23 | 501 | 33 | 507 |
| Beche-de-mers | 33 | 5,595 | 11 | 3,860 | 22 | 6,147 |
| Octopus | 291 | 22,415 | 257 | 20,056 | 408 | 36,698 |
| Squids | 162 | 11,854 | 140 | 13,504 | 142 | 17,980 |
| Not Acc. For | 78 | 6,415 | 65 | 5,688 | - | - |
| TOTAL | 597 | 49,182 | 495 | 43,609 | 604 | 61,331 |
| TOTAL MARINE | 8,736 | 736,766 | 7,926 | 726,725 | 8,406 | 822,341 |

Table 6: Marine monthly Fish landing by Species and Weight 2010

| DEMERSALS | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep | Oct | Nov | Dec | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | M. tons | M. tons | M. tons | M. tons | M. tons | M. tons | M. tons | M. tons | M. tons | M. tons | M. tons | M. tons | M. tons |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rabbit fish | 46 | 41 | 45 | 56 | 82 | 60 | 58 | 58 | 50 | 62 | 54 | 64 | 675 |
| Scavenger | 55 | 39 | 54 | 56 | 58 | 43 | 49 | 67 | 50 | 55 | 55 | 61 | 642 |
| Snapper | 24 | 23 | 23 | 21 | 20 | 27 | 20 | 17 | 19 | 27 | 25 | 54 | 298 |
| Parrot fish | 40 | 35 | 40 | 63 | 58 | 30 | 38 | 29 | 27 | 42 | 33 | 40 | 475 |
| Surgeon fish | 11 | 12 | 20 | 6 | 5 | 3 | 5 | 6 | 6 | 9 | 18 | 18 | 121 |
| Unicorn fish | 12 | 17 | 18 | 5 | 13 | 6 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 9 | 19 | 47 | 164 |
| Grunter | 10 | 11 | 11 | 15 | 16 | 13 | 13 | 13 | 12 | 11 | 11 | 12 | 149 |
| Pouter | 13 | 14 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 15 | 16 | 16 | 17 | 17 | 16 | 15 | 181 |
| Black skin | 16 | 17 | 18 | 13 | 15 | 11 | 16 | 16 | 12 | 18 | 13 | 16 | 181 |
| Goat fish | 9 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 10 | 110 |
| Steaker | 2 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 30 |
| Rock cod | 13 | 16 | 11 | 11 | 8 | 7 | 9 | 11 | 10 | 11 | 14 | 28 | 150 |
| Cat fish | 8 | 8 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 6 | 6 | 7 | 7 | 11 | 13 | 92 |
| Mixed dermasal | 76 | 78 | 64 | 82 | 77 | 53 | 79 | 82 | 83 | 79 | 61 | 63 | 878 |
| TOTAL | 336 | 322 | 336 | 361 | 386 | 284 | 325 | 339 | 309 | 359 | 342 | 445 | 4,146 |
| PELAGICS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Crevallae jacks | 19 | 13 | 17 | 14 | 19 | 16 | 18 | 18 | 18 | 23 | 27 | 25 | 227 |
| Mullets | 22 | 16 | 19 | 19 | 22 | 19 | 28 | 28 | 27 | 39 | 26 | 25 | 292 |
| Little mackerels | 24 | 23 | 29 | 26 | 21 | 13 | 32 | 37 | 42 | 51 | 66 | 56 | 419 |
| Barracudas | 24 | 24 | 26 | 26 | 24 | 20 | 18 | 20 | 22 | 28 | 23 | 27 | 281 |
| Milk fish | 4 | 7 | 10 | 5 | 7 | 5 | 6 | 5 | 5 | 6 | 4 | 14 | 78 |
| King fish | 9 | 10 | 9 | 8 | 7 | 3 | 8 | 10 | 15 | 14 | 11 | 14 | 119 |
| Queen fish | 8 | 9 | 9 | 8 | 8 | 6 | 9 | 10 | 9 | 21 | 22 | 22 | 141 |


| Sail fish | 29 | 37 | 23 | 6 | 6 | 4 | 8 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 9 | 16 | 165 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Tuna | 25 | 28 | 19 | 10 | 10 | 8 | 9 | 11 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 18 | 180 |
| Dolphin fish | 5 | 10 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 11 | 41 |
| Mixed Pelagics | 51 | 55 | 46 | 35 | 22 | 20 | 17 | 21 | 25 | 29 | 34 | 45 | 400 |
| TOTAL | 222 | 234 | 207 | 157 | 145 | 115 | 154 | 170 | 189 | 238 | 239 | 274 | 2,344 |
| Sharks \&Rays | 30 | 24 | 19 | 15 | 18 | 19 | 16 | 19 | 27 | 21 | 27 | 38 | 274 |
| Sardines | 19 | 18 | 21 | 24 | 18 | 16 | 12 | 13 | 17 | 20 | 17 | 29 | 224 |
| Mixed fish/Others | 35 | 30 | 24 | 32 | 25 | 14 | 17 | 18 | 18 | 18 | 27 | 36 | 294 |
| TOTAL | 84 | 72 | 64 | 71 | 62 | 48 | 45 | 51 | 63 | 59 | 71 | 102 | 792 |
| CRUSTACEANS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lobsters | 8 | 8 | 9 | 7 | 8 | 3 | 6 | 6 | 9 | 6 | 15 | 14 | 100 |
| Prawns | 15 | 16 | 16 | 17 | 32 | 53 | 16 | 16 | 20 | 26 | 5 | 19 | 252 |
| Crabs | 10 | 11 | 14 | 16 | 15 | 11 | 15 | 15 | 20 | 9 | 19 | 13 | 168 |
| TOTAL | 33 | 36 | 40 | 40 | 54 | 67 | 36 | 37 | 50 | 41 | 39 | 45 | 519 |
| MISCELLANEOUS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Oysters | 2 | 8 | 4 | 7 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 33 |
| Beche-de-mers | 4 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 22 |
| Octopus | 21 | 32 | 32 | 37 | 32 | 25 | 28 | 37 | 45 | 43 | 36 | 42 | 408 |
| Squids | 15 | 24 | 11 | 12 | 14 | 9 | 5 | 10 | 7 | 6 | 12 | 16 | 142 |
| TOTAL | 41 | 66 | 49 | 58 | 49 | 37 | 35 | 49 | 56 | 53 | 50 | 62 | 604 |
| TOTAL MARINE | 717 | 729 | 697 | 688 | 695 | 551 | 596 | 646 | 667 | 749 | 742 | 928 | 8,406 |

Table 7: Marine Fish landing by Species, Weight, Value and Counties 2010

| DEMERSAL | Lamu |  | Tana Delta |  | Kilifi |  | Mombasa |  | Kwale |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{array}{r} \text { M. } \\ \text { tons } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 000 \\ \text { Kshs } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { M. } \\ \text { tons } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 000 \\ \text { Kshs } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \mathrm{M} . \\ \text { tons } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 000 \\ \text { Kshs } \end{array}$ | M. tons | $\begin{array}{r} 000 \\ \text { Kshs } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { M. } \\ \text { tons } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 000 \\ \text { Kshs } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \mathrm{M} . \\ \text { tons } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 000 \\ \text { Kshs } \end{array}$ |
| Rabbit fish | 310 | 16,467 | 5 | 252 | 113 | 13,719 | 84 | 13,457 | 165 | 16,385 | 675 | 60,281 |
| Scarvenger | 271 | 14,235 | 8 | 545 | 138 | 15,477 | 64 | 8,957 | 160 | 14,135 | 642 | 53,349 |
| Snapper | 89 | 6,134 | 21 | 1,438 | 53 | 5,253 | 38 | 6,333 | 96 | 8,320 | 298 | 27,477 |
| Parrot fish | 240 | 10,609 | 0 | 12 | 58 | 5,568 | 48 | 4,403 | 129 | 9,851 | 475 | 30,444 |
| Surgeon fish | 15 | 750 | 3 | 121 | 62 | 3,757 | 3 | 422 | 39 | 2,747 | 121 | 7,797 |
| Unicorn fish | 15 | 762 | - | - | 94 | 6,563 | 14 | 1,706 | 42 | 2,453 | 164 | 11,484 |
| Grunter | 47 | 2,395 | 1 | 68 | 19 | 1,911 | 54 | 6,397 | 28 | 2,444 | 149 | 13,215 |
| Pouter | 74 | 3,927 | - | - | 16 | 1,808 | 49 | 3,771 | 43 | 3,310 | 181 | 12,817 |
| Black skin | 87 | 5,094 | - | - | 18 | 1,717 | 3 | 564 | 73 | 5,960 | 181 | 13,336 |
| Goat fish | 45 | 2,325 | - | - | 6 | 673 | 26 | 3,868 | 31 | 2,990 | 110 | 9,855 |
| Steaker | 3 | 204 | - | - | 9 | 1,010 | - | - | 18 | 1,379 | 30 | 2,593 |
| Rock cod | 45 | 2,659 | 9 | 558 | 35 | 3,309 | 10 | 1,464 | 51 | 4,461 | 150 | 12,450 |
| Cat fish | 31 | 1,401 | 18 | 1,005 | 15 | 1,628 | 7 | 1,017 | 21 | 1,708 | 92 | 6,759 |
| Mixed dermasal | 375 | 19,990 | 50 | 3,016 | 280 | 24,884 | 25 | 3,929 | 149 | 11,457 | 878 | 63,276 |
| TOTAL | 1,648 | 86,952 | 114 | 7,015 | 916 | 87,278 | 423 | 56,288 | 1,045 | 87,600 | 4,146 | 325,133 |
| PELAGICS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Crevallae jacks | 60 | 3,532 | 20 | 1,163 | 37 | 4,660 | 37 | 5,808 | 74 | 6,503 | 227 | 21,667 |
| Mullets | 127 | 7,040 | 16 | 983 | 60 | 6,357 | 27 | 2,891 | 61 | 5,192 | 292 | 22,464 |
| Little mackerels | - | - | 3 | 194 | 191 | 18,208 | 54 | 5,451 | 172 | 13,351 | 419 | 37,204 |
| Barracudas | 59 | 3,194 | 3 | 263 | 65 | 6,671 | 59 | 8,841 | 94 | 7,956 | 281 | 26,924 |


| Milk fish | 31 | 1,449 | - | - | 28 | 2,617 | 0 | 35 | 18 | 1,588 | 78 | 5,689 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| King fish | 9 | 612 | 13 | 1,326 | 56 | 6,738 | 19 | 2,930 | 20 | 2,377 | 119 | 13,982 |
| Queen fish | 26 | 1,256 | 39 | 3,752 | 28 | 2,926 | 27 | 2,589 | 21 | 1,344 | 141 | 11,867 |
| Sail fish | 8 | 485 | 2 | 234 | 119 | 13,697 | 27 | 3,973 | 10 | 971 | 165 | 19,360 |
| Tunny | 18 | 1,093 | 2 | 40 | 67 | 7,796 | 12 | 1,780 | 82 | 7,831 | 180 | 18,539 |
| Dolphin fish | - | - | 22 | 1,377 | 13 | 1,361 | - | - | 6 | 583 | 41 | 3,321 |
| Mixed Pelagics | 17 | 1,178 | - | - | 203 | 23,048 | 5 | 746 | 176 | 13,641 | 400 | 38,612 |
| TOTAL | 355 | 19,838 | 121 | 9,331 | 867 | 94,079 | 267 | 35,044 | 734 | 61,336 | 2,344 | 219,628 |
| Sharks \&Rays | 18 | 1,584 | 40 | 3,848 | 76 | 7,723 | 84 | 10,231 | 55 | 3,562 | 274 | 26,948 |
| Sardines | - | - | - | - | 42 | 3,487 | 72 | 6,428 | 109 | 4,153 | 224 | 14,068 |
| mixed fish | 35 | 3,840 | - | - | 99 | 8,797 | 79 | 8,948 | 81 | 4,673 | 294 | 26,258 |
| TOTAL | 53 | 5,424 | 40 | 3,848 | 217 | 20,007 | 236 | 25,607 | 245 | 12,388 | 792 | 67,274 |
| CRUSTACEANS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lobsters | 36 | 32,549 | 8 | 6,174 | 10 | 7,554 | 13 | 4,478 | 33 | 18,919 | 100 | 69,674 |
| Prawns | 45 | 6,997 | 40 | 10,582 | 25 | 4,462 | 119 | 24,699 | 22 | 4,711 | 252 | 51,451 |
| Crabs | 81 | 17,910 | 10 | 708 | 11 | 1,148 | 21 | 2,523 | 44 | 5,560 | 168 | 27,850 |
| TOTAL | 163 | 57,456 | 58 | 17,465 | 46 | 13,164 | 154 | 31,700 | 99 | 29,189 | 519 | 148,974 |
| MOLLUSCS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Oysters | 20 | 91 | - | - | 1 | 53 | 11 | 328 | 1 | 35 | 33 | 507 |
| Beche-de-mers | 3 | 3,572 | - | - | 1 | 42 | - | - | 18 | 2,533 | 22 | 6,147 |
| Octopus | 12 | 641 | 24 | 1,425 | 92 | 8,797 | 33 | 3,808 | 248 | 22,027 | 408 | 36,698 |
| Squids | 16 | 3,051 | - | - | 50 | 6,853 | 12 | 1,412 | 64 | 6,664 | 142 | 17,980 |
| TOTAL | 52 | 7,355 | 24 | 1,425 | 143 | 15,745 | 55 | 5,548 | 331 | 31,259 | 604 | 61,331 |
| TOTAL MARINE | 2,270 | 177,025 | 358 | 39,083 | 2,190 | 230,273 | 1,134 | 154,188 | 2,454 | 221,772 | 8,406 | 822,341 |

Table 8: Lake Victoria Fish landings by Species, Weight and Value 2008-2010

| Species | 2008 |  |  | 2009 |  |  | 2010 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | M. tons | 000 Kshs | $\begin{array}{r} \% \\ \text { Comp } \end{array}$ | M. tons | 000 Kshs | $\begin{array}{r} \% \\ \text { Comp } \end{array}$ | M. tons | 000 Kshs | $\begin{array}{r} \% \\ \text { Comp } \end{array}$ |
| R. argentea | 46,966 | 1,650,286 | 42.17 | 49,326 | 2,219,624 | 45.28 | 47,716 | 2,225,780 | 42.65 |
| L. nilotucus | 44,232 | 5,985,357 | 39.72 | 42,622 | 6,659,361 | 39.13 | 38,375 | 6,617,885 | 34.30 |
| T. niloticus | 9,619 | 1,066,952 | 8.64 | 13,850 | 1,731,377 | 12.71 | 15,457 | 2,062,480 | 13.82 |
| Haplochromis | 5,403 | 313,301 | 4.85 | 821 | 51,598 | 0.75 | 14 | 840 | 0.01 |
| Proptopterus | 2,768 | 245,382 | 2.49 | 636 | 38,131 | 0.58 | 3,638 | 218,455 | 3.25 |
| Clarias | 601 | 47,620 | 0.54 | 1,112 | 66,720 | 1.02 | 4,181 | 291,350 | 3.74 |
| Others | 1,780 | 120,867 | 1.57 | 567 | 43,020 | 0.28 | 2,487 | 126,335 | 2.22 |
| TOTAL | 111,369 | 9,429,765 | 100 | 108,934 | 10,809,831 | 100 | 111,868 | 11,543,125 | 100 |

Table 9: Lake Victoria Monthly Fish landings by Species, Weight (M. tons) and Value ('000 Kshs) 2010

| Species |  | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Jul | Aug | Sep | Oct | Nov | Dec | TOTAL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| L. niloticus | Weight | 3,190 | 1,901 | 2,798 | 4,900 | 3,197 | 2,777 | 3,196 | 1,933 | 3,618 | 4,467 | 3,685 | 2,714 | 38,375 |
|  | Value | 550,152 | 322,459 | 480,986 | 852,100 | 551,424 | 477,169 | 551,265 | 328,183 | 625,679 | 775,619 | 637,604 | 465,245 | 6,617,885 |
| R. argentea | Weight | 3,879 | 3,821 | 4,227 | 5,166 | 4,663 | 4,891 | 2,012 | 3,048 | 3,971 | 5,920 | 2,031 | 4,086 | 47,716 |
|  | Value | 180,950 | 178,243 | 197,195 | 240,966 | 217,502 | 228,151 | 93,860 | 142,188 | 185,237 | 276,164 | 94,762 | 190,561 | 2,225,780 |
| O. niloticus | Weight | 1,241 | 1,384 | 978 | 1,643 | 1,317 | 724 | 1,310 | 1,903 | 607 | 1,683 | 1,110 | 1,557 | 15,457 |
|  | Value | 165,656 | 184,716 | 130,515 | 219,262 | 175,782 | 96,714 | 174,888 | 253,957 | 81,079 | 224,623 | 148,235 | 207,054 | 2,062,480 |
| Clarias | Weight | 436 | 361 | 327 | 451 | 237 | 135 | 376 | 474 | 290 | 271 | 353 | 470 | 4,181 |
|  | Value | 30,327 | 25,087 | 22,729 | 31,375 | 16,441 | 9,367 | 26,135 | 32,947 | 20,109 | 18,799 | 24,563 | 33,471 | 291,350 |
| Protopterus | Weight | 312 | 192 | 260 | 392 | 140 | 472 | 340 | 134 | 306 | 112 | 529 | 449 | 3,638 |
|  | Value | 18,720 | 11,502 | 15,627 | 23,533 | 8,408 | 28,345 | 20,439 | 8,063 | 18,377 | 6,689 | 31,783 | 26,970 | 218,455 |
| Haplochromis | Weight | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 14 |
|  | Value | 74 | 48 | 116 | 81 | 34 | 66 | 28 | 92 | 84 | 38 | 80 | 100 | 840 |
| Others | Weight | 159 | 128 | 190 | 313 | 155 | 234 | 163 | 150 | 405 | 133 | 212 | 247 | 2,487 |
|  | Value | 8,077 | 6,518 | 9,637 | 15,876 | 7,854 | 11,865 | 8,300 | 7,632 | 20,555 | 6,740 | 10,751 | 12,530 | 126,335 |
| TOTAL | Weight | 9,218 | 7,787 | 8,782 | 12,865 | 9,709 | 9,234 | 7,399 | 7,644 | 9,197 | 12,585 | 7,923 | 9,524 | 111,868 |
| TOTAL | Value | 953,957 | 728,573 | 856,804 | 1,383,192 | 977,445 | 851,677 | 874,915 | 773,063 | 951,119 | 1,308,672 | 947,777 | 935,931 | 11,543,125 |

Table 10: Annual fish landings from Lake Victoria by Counties 2010

| Species | Busia |  | Siaya |  | Kisumu |  | Homa Bay |  | Migori |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | M. tons | 000 Kshs | M. tons | 000 Kshs | M. tons | 000 Kshs | M. tons | 000 Kshs | M. tons | 000 Kshs | M. tons | 000 Kshs |
| L. nilotucus | 1,214 | 230,660 | 11,947 | 2,150,460 | 366 | 69,540 | 21,794 | 3,586,965 | 3,054 | 580,260 | 38,375 | 6,617,885 |
| R. argentea | 3,270 | 163,500 | 11,148 | 535,104 | 984 | 48,396 | 30,756 | 1,400,880 | 1,558 | 77,900 | 47,716 | 2,225,780 |
| T. niloticus | 1,756 | 298,520 | 4,636 | 602,680 | 256 | 43,520 | 8,678 | 1,095,490 | 131 | 22,270 | 15,457 | 2,062,480 |
| Clarias | - | - | 47 | 2,820 | 211 | 12,660 | 3,916 | 275,450 | 7 | 420 | 4,181 | 291,350 |
| Protopterus | - | - | 2 | 120 | 113 | 6,780 | 3,514 | 211,015 | 9 | 540 | 3,638 | 218,455 |
| Haplochromis | - | - | - | - | - | - | 5 | 300 | 9 | 540 | 14 | 840 |
| Others | 14 | 630 | 926 | 55,560 | 137 | 6,165 | 142 | 6,920 | 1,268 | 57,060 | 2,487 | 126,335 |
| Total | 6,254 | 693,310 | 28,706 | 3,346,744 | 2,067 | 187,061 | 68,805 | 6,577,020 | 6,036 | 738,990 | 111,868 | 11,543,125 |

Table 11: Lake Turkana Fish landings by Species, Weight and Value 2010

| Specie | Western side |  | Eastern side |  | Total |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | M. tons | 000 Kshs | M. tons | 000 Kshs | M. tons | 000 Kshs |
| Tilapias | 2,920 | 143,828 | 89 | 4,985 | 3,009 | 148,813 |
| L. niloticus | 658 | 36,744 | 12 | 1,979 | 670 | 38,723 |
| Labeo | 1,017 | 30,065 | 127 | 6,496 | 1,144 | 36,561 |
| Barbus | 353 | 10,480 | - | - | 353 | 10,480 |
| Distichodus | 812 | 23,920 | - | - | 812 | 23,920 |
| Hydrocy forskalii | 39 | 1,150 | - | - | 39 | 1,150 |
| Citharinus | 63 | 1,845 | - | - | 63 | 1,845 |
| Synodontis | 136 | 4,085 | - | - | 136 | 4,085 |
| Alestes | 50 | 1,550 | - | - | 50 | 1,550 |
| Bagrus | 101 | 2,995 | - | - | 101 | 2,995 |
| Clarias | 53 | 1,565 | - | - | 53 | $\mathbf{1}, 565$ |
| TOTAL | $\mathbf{6 , 2 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 5 8 , 2 2 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 2 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 3 , 4 6 0}$ | $\mathbf{6 , 4 3 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 7 1 , 6 8 7}$ |

Table 12: Lake Turkana Monthly Fish landings by Weight and Value 2010

| MONTH | Western side |  | Eastern side |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | M. tons | 000 Kshs | M. tons | 000 Kshs | M. tons | 000 Kshs |
| January | 544 | 23,280 | 22 | 1,115 | 566 | 24,395 |
| February | 482 | 15,220 | 33 | 1,647 | 515 | 16,867 |
| March | 501 | 19,437 | 21 | 1,065 | 522 | 20,502 |
| April | 530 | 22,850 | 26 | 1,315 | 556 | 24,165 |
| May | 542 | 23,110 | 25 | 1,128 | 567 | 24,238 |
| June | 555 | 23,900 | 14 | 1,037 | 569 | 24,937 |
| July | 383 | 15,900 | 16 | 1,160 | 399 | 17,060 |
| August | 552 | 23,060 | 15 | 1,129 | 567 | 24,189 |
| September | 487 | 20,750 | 17 | 1,123 | 504 | 21,873 |
| October | 558 | 24,080 | 13 | 878 | 571 | 24,958 |
| November | 631 | 27,610 | 14 | 1,036 | 645 | 28,646 |
| December | 437 | 19,030 | 12 | 827 | 449 | 19,857 |
| TOTAL | 6,202 | 258,227 | 228 | 13,460 | 6,430 | 271,687 |

Table 13: Lake Baringo Monthly landings by Species, Weight and Value 2010

| MONTH | Tilapia |  | Protopterus |  | Clarias |  | Barbus |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Kgs | Kshs | Kgs | Kshs | Kgs | Kshs | Kgs | Kshs | Kgs | Kshs |
| Jan | 205 | 20,450 | 5,051 | 505,050 | 1,237 | 49,460 | 59 | 2,340 | 6,550 | 577,300 |
| Feb | 8 | 820 | 2,471 | 247,100 | 1,004 | 40,160 | 6 | 220 | 3,489 | 288,300 |
| Mar | 16 | 1,610 | 2,872 | 287,200 | 1,130 | 45,200 | 7 | 268 | 4,025 | 334,278 |
| Apr | 9 | 850 | 2,562 | 256,200 | 1,274 | 50,960 | 29 | 1,140 | 3,873 | 309,150 |
| May | 7 | 700 | 3,222 | 322,200 | 2,190 | 87,600 | 42 | 1,680 | 5,461 | 412,180 |
| Jun | 18 | 1,800 | 2,487 | 248,700 | 749 | 29,960 | 19 | 740 | 3,273 | 281,200 |
| Jul | 17 | 1,730 | 1,707 | 170,600 | 914 | 36,560 | - | - | 2,637 | 208,890 |
| Aug | 25 | 2,480 | 2,703 | 270,300 | 809 | 32,360 | 32 | 1,268 | 3,569 | 306,408 |
| Sep | 19 | 1,900 | 2,583 | 258,250 | 588 | 23,520 | 9 | 360 | 3,199 | 284,030 |
| Oct | 931 | 93,100 | 4,100 | 410,050 | 752 | 30,080 | 108 | 4,320 | 5,891 | 537,450 |
| Nov | 93 | 9,300 | 3,597 | 359,700 | 1,117 | 44,520 | 44 | 1,760 | 4,851 | 415,420 |
| Dec | 257 | 25,700 | 4,981 | 498,100 | 1,152 | 46,220 | 111 | 4,440 | 6,505 | 574,460 |
| TOTAL | 1,604 | 160,440 | 38,335 | 3,833,450 | 12,915 | 516,600 | 463 | 18,536 | 53,320 | 4,529,066 |
|  | Tilapia |  | Protopterus |  | Clarias |  | Barbus |  | Total |  |
|  | $\begin{array}{r} \mathrm{M} . \\ \text { tons } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 000 \\ \text { Kshs } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \mathrm{M} . \\ \text { tons } \end{array}$ | 000 Kshs | $\begin{array}{r} \mathrm{M} . \\ \text { tons } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 000 \\ \text { Kshs } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \mathrm{M} . \\ \text { tons } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 000 \\ \text { Kshs } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \mathrm{M} . \\ \text { tons } \end{array}$ | 000 Kshs |
| Total | 2 | 160 | 38 | 3,833 | 13 | 517 | 0 | 19 | 53 | 4,529 |

Table 14: Lake Naivasha Monthly landings by Species, Weight and Value 2010


Table 15: Lake Jipe Monthly Fish landings by Species, Weight and Value 2010

| MONTH | Tilapia |  | Clarias |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | M. tons | 000 Kshs | M. tons | 000 Kshs | M. tons | 000 Kshs |
| Jan | 8 | 496 | 1 | 63 | 9 | 559 |
| Feb | 8 | 489 | 1 | 55 | 9 | 544 |
| Mar | 8 | 461 | 1 | 50 | 9 | 511 |
| Apr | 8 | 479 | 1 | 51 | 9 | 530 |
| May | 8 | 461 | 1 | 50 | 9 | 511 |
| Jun | 7 | 440 | 1 | 48 | 8 | 488 |
| Jul | 7 | 435 | 1 | 33 | 8 | 468 |
| Aug | 8 | 412 | 1 | 40 | 9 | 452 |
| Sep | 7 | 426 | 1 | 32 | 8 | 458 |
| Oct | 7 | 434 | 1 | 26 | 8 | 460 |
| Nov | 7 | 434 | 1 | 26 | 8 | 460 |
| Dec | 8 | 502 | 1 | 74 | 9 | 576 |
| TOTAL | 91 | 5,469 | 12 | 548 | 103 | 6,017 |

Table 16: Tana River dams Monthly fish landings by Species, Weight and Value 2010

| Month | Tilapia |  | Common carp |  | Clarias |  | Eels |  | Others |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | M. tons | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 000 \\ & \text { Kshs } \end{aligned}$ | M. tons | $\begin{aligned} & 000 \\ & \text { Kshs } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { M. } \\ & \text { tons } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 000 \\ & \text { Kshs } \end{aligned}$ | M. tons | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 000 \\ & \text { Kshs } \end{aligned}$ | M. tons | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 000 \\ & \text { Kshs } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { M. } \\ & \text { tons } \end{aligned}$ | 000 Kshs |
| Jan | 7 | 429 | 7 | 398 | 5 | 390 | * | 6 | * | 4 | 19 | 1,225 |
| Feb | 7 | 415 | 7 | 390 | 5 | 347 | * | 5 | * | 2 | 18 | 1,159 |
| Mar | 7 | 362 | 7 | 357 | 3 | 223 | * | 4 | * | 2 | 17 | 947 |
| Apr | 7 | 468 | 11 | 656 | 12 | 919 | * | 4 | * | 1 | 30 | 2,048 |
| May | 13 | 773 | 16 | 850 | 13 | 947 | * | 3 | * | 2 | 41 | 2,575 |
| Jun | 20 | 1,062 | 18 | 1,045 | 14 | 1,145 | * | 2 | * | 1 | 53 | 3,255 |
| Jul | 25 | 1,252 | 20 | 1,133 | 14 | 1,130 | * | 3 | * | 1 | 60 | 3,520 |
| Aug | 29 | 841 | 21 | 1,017 | 14 | 1,382 | * | 5 | * | 1 | 64 | 3,246 |
| Sep | 29 | 1,634 | 21 | 1,663 | 14 | 1,143 | * | 5 | - | - | 65 | 4,446 |
| Oct | 23 | 1,188 | 25 | 1,936 | 17 | 1,286 | 3 | 176 | * | 1 | 68 | 4,588 |
| Nov | 33 | 1,799 | 29 | 2,155 | 18 | 1,471 | * | 8 | * | 1 | 81 | 5,433 |
| Dec | 22 | 1,374 | 28 | 2,125 | 17 | 1,442 | * | 6 | * | 1 | 68 | 4,949 |
| Total | 223 | 11,596 | 209 | 13,725 | 147 | 11,825 | 3 | 228 | 0 | 17 | 583 | 37,391 |

Table 17: Lake Kenyatta Monthly fish landings by Species, Weight and Value 2010

|  | Tilapia |  | Clarias |  | Protopterus |  | Total |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Month | M.tons | 000Kshs | M.tons | O00Kshs | M.tons | O00Kshs | M.tons | 000Kshs |
| January | 15 | 181 | 4 | 184 | 5 | 210 | 24 | 575 |
| February | 12 | 149 | 17 | 530 | 8 | 414 | 37 | 1,092 |
| March | 7 | 81 | 15 | 611 | 8 | 393 | 30 | 1,085 |
| April | 7 | 85 | 15 | 611 | 11 | 530 | 33 | 1,227 |
| May | 17 | 402 | 9 | 382 | 18 | 847 | 44 | 1,631 |
| June | 15 | 143 | 4 | 182 | $*$ | 14 | 19 | 339 |
| July | 15 | 192 | 4 | 183 | $*$ | 1 | 19 | 376 |
| August | 17 | 492 | 6 | 220 | $*$ | 18 | 24 | 729 |
| September | 9 | 252 | 6 | 239 | 14 | 401 | 30 | 893 |
| October | 17 | 486 | 6 | 237 | 26 | 767 | 50 | 1,490 |
| November | 23 | 597 | 6 | 239 | 14 | 368 | 43 | 1,203 |
| December | 4 | 105 | 9 | 121 | 4 | 149 | 17 | 375 |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 5 8}$ | $\mathbf{3 , 1 6 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{3 , 7 3 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 7}$ | $\mathbf{4 , 1 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{3 6 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 1 , 0 1 5}$ |

Table 18: Lake Kanyaboli Monthly fish landings by Species, Weight and Value 2010

| Month | Tiilapia |  | Protopterus |  | Clarias |  | Haplochromis |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{array}{r} \mathrm{M} . \\ \text { tons } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 000 \\ \text { Kshs } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { M. } \\ \text { tons } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 000 \\ \text { Kshs } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \mathrm{M} . \\ \text { tons } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 000 \\ \text { Kshs } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { M. } \\ \text { tons } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 000 \\ \text { Kshs } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \mathrm{M} . \\ \text { tons } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 000 \\ \text { Kshs } \end{array}$ |
| Jan | 10.8 | 540 | 3.6 | 216 | 3.1 | 186 | 0.7 | 28 | 18.2 | 970 |
| Feb | 12.5 | 625 | 3.3 | 198 | 2.8 | 168 | 0.09 | 3.6 | 18.7 | 995 |
| Mar | 11.5 | 575 | 2.9 | 174 | 2.5 | 150 | 0.6 | 24 | 17.5 | 923 |
| Apr | 12.2 | 610 | 2.7 | 162 | 2.6 | 156 | 0.3 | 12 | 17.8 | 940 |
| May | 11.7 | 585 | 2.4 | 144 | 2.6 | 156 | 0.9 | 36 | 17.6 | 921 |
| Jun | 10.4 | 520 | 2.8 | 168 | 1.8 | 108 | 0.7 | 28 | 15.7 | 824 |
| Jul | 11.2 | 560 | 2.9 | 174 | 2.7 | 162 | 0.8 | 32 | 17.6 | 928 |
| Aug | 13.4 | 670 | 2.5 | 150 | 2.7 | 162 | 0.4 | 16 | 19.0 | 998 |
| Sep | 12.1 | 605 | 3.3 | 198 | 2.7 | 162 | 0.5 | 20 | 18.6 | 985 |
| Oct | 10.6 | 530 | 1.9 | 114 | 2.6 | 156 | 0.6 | 24 | 15.7 | 824 |
| Nov | 15.1 | 755 | 2.7 | 162 | 2.2 | 132 | 0.9 | 36 | 20.9 | 1,085 |
| Dec | 9.8 | 490 | 3.5 | 210 | 3.6 | 216 | 0.5 | 20 | 17.4 | 936 |
| Total | 141 | 7,065 | 35 | 2,070 | 32 | 1,914 | 7 | 280 | 215 | 11,329 |

Table 19: Tana River delta freshwater fish landings by Species, Weight and Value 2010

| Month | Tilapia |  | Clarias |  | Protopterus |  | Total |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | M.tons | 000Kshs | M.tons | 000Kshs | M.tons | 000Kshs | M.tons | 000Kshs |
|  | 7 | 669 | 15 | 1,110 | 4 | 313 | 25 | 2,092 |
| February | 7 | 583 | 13 | 1,030 | 5 | 423 | 24 | 2,037 |
| March | 7 | 600 | 12 | 944 | 6 | 454 | 25 | 1,999 |
| April | 8 | 711 | 17 | 1,287 | 6 | 472 | 31 | 2,469 |
| May | 9 | 763 | 16 | 1,302 | 6 | 482 | 31 | 2,546 |
| June | 7 | 657 | 15 | 1,150 | 6 | 460 | 28 | 2,267 |
| July | 7 | 619 | 16 | 1,188 | 5 | 411 | 28 | 2,217 |
| August | 10 | 787 | 17 | 1,220 | 5 | 398 | 32 | 2,405 |
| September | 10 | 828 | 19 | 1,566 | 11 | 796 | 40 | 3,190 |
| October | 12 | 1,013 | 20 | 1,434 | 5 | 347 | 38 | 2,795 |
| November | 7 | 510 | 16 | 1,146 | 11 | 849 | 34 | 2,505 |
| December | 11 | 895 | 10 | 684 | 6 | 437 | 27 | 2,016 |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 5 8}$ | $\mathbf{3 , 1 6 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{3 , 7 3 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 7}$ | $\mathbf{4 , 1 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{3 6 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 8 , 5 3 7}$ |

Table 20: Exports of Fish and Fishery Products 2010

| Product | Quantity <br> (M. tons) | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Value } \\ \text { ('000 Kshs) } \end{array}$ | \% in Quantity | \% in Value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Nile perch Fillets | 10,293 | 3,529,003 | 85.79 | 84.55 |
| Nile perch Maws | 546 | 436,230 | 4.55 | 10.45 |
| Sword fish | 80 | 7,892 | 0.67 | 0.19 |
| Shark fins | 15 | 5,290 | 0.13 | 0.13 |
| Frozen Lobsters | 4 | 3,056 | 0.03 | 0.07 |
| Live Lobsters | 13 | 7,849 | 0.11 | 0.19 |
| Octopus | 690 | 158,967 | 5.75 | 3.81 |
| Frozen Crabs | 43 | 7,580 | 0.36 | 0.18 |
| Frozen Mackerels | 15 | 291 | 0.13 | 0.01 |
| Frozen Sardines | 36 | 588 | 0.30 | 0.01 |
| Frozen Sword fish | 73 | 7,038 | 0.61 | 0.17 |
| Sea Cucumbers | 16 | 5,307 | 0.13 | 0.13 |
| Sea weeds | 2 | 30 | 0.02 | 0.00 |
| Marine Shells | 172 | 4,803 | 1.43 | 0.12 |
| TOTAL | 11,998 | 4,173,924 | 100.00 | 100.00 |
| Tuna Loins | 9,207 | 512,462 |  |  |
| Grand Total | 21,205 | 4,686,386 |  |  |

Table 21: Imports of Fish and Fishery Products 2010

| Product |  | Quantity <br> (M. tons/Pieces) | Value <br> ('000Kshs) |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Salmon | 54 | 10,532 |  |
| Dried Haplochromis | 15 | 450 |  |
| Dried Sharks |  | 77 | 1,600 |
| Frozen Barracuda | 27 | 578 |  |
| Frozen Dolphin fish |  | 21 | 762 |
| Frozen Herrings | 22 | 819 |  |
| Frozen Kahawai |  | 259 | 6,917 |
| Frozen Koheru | 27 | 722 |  |
| Frozen Lizard fish |  | 54 | 1,400 |
| Frozen Mackerels |  | 1,749 | 50,001 |
| Frozen Mixed fish | 81 | 2,164 |  |
| Frozen Pangasius fillets |  | 73 | 3,932 |
| Frozen Sardines |  | 485 | 10,350 |
| Frozen Sharks |  | 81 | 2,164 |
| Frozen Tilapia |  | 91 | 13,971 |
| Frozen Tuna | 31 | 2,069 |  |
| Coycan |  | 3 | 146 |
| Live Crabs |  | 0 | 10 |
| Sun Total | $\mathbf{3 , 1 5 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 8 , 5 8 7}$ |  |
| Live tropical fish | $\mathbf{3 5 , 1 0 4}$ | 503 |  |
| Trout Ova | $\mathbf{2 0 0 , 0 0 0}$ | 312 |  |
|  |  | $\mathbf{3 , 0 9 5}$ |  |
| Grand Total | Pieces | $\mathbf{2 3 5 , 1 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 8 , 5 8 5}$ |
|  | $\mathbf{8 1 5}$ |  |  |
|  |  |  | $\mathbf{1 0 9 , 4 0 0}$ |

